arXiv:0706.0688v1 [math.QA] 5 Jun 2007

BETHE ALGEBRA OF HOMOGENEOUS *XXX* HEISENBERG MODEL HAS SIMPLE SPECTRUM

E. MUKHIN *,1, V. TARASOV *,⋆,2, AND A. VARCHENKO **,3

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University – Purdue University, Indianapolis, 402 North Blackford St, Indianapolis, IN 46202-3216, USA

⋆St. Petersburg Branch of Steklov Mathematical Institute
Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg, 191023, Russia

**Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA

Abstract. We show that the algebra of commuting Hamiltonians of the homogeneous *XXX* Heisenberg model has simple spectrum on the subspace of singular vectors of the tensor product of two-dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-modules. As a byproduct we show that there exist exactly $\binom{n}{l} - \binom{n}{l-1}$ two-dimensional vector subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}[u]$ with a basis $f, g \in V$ such that $\deg f = l, \deg g = n - l + 1$ and $f(u)g(u-1) - f(u-1)g(u) = (u+1)^n$.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Homogeneous *XXX* Heisenberg model.** Consider the vector space $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ and the linear operator $H_{XXX} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{j,j+1} + P_{1,n}$, where $P_{i,j}$ is the flip map for the i-th and j-th tensor factors:

$$P_{i,j}\, v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \;=\; v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i-1} \otimes v_j \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{j-1} \otimes v_i \otimes v_{j+1} \otimes \dots v_n \ .$$

The operator H_{XXX} is the Hamiltonian of the famous *XXX* Heisenberg model, and the problem is to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H_{XXX}.

The Hamiltonian commutes with the natural $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-action on $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ and it is enough to diagonalize the Hamiltonian on each subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-singular vectors of given weight,

$$\mathrm{Sing}\,(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l] \;=\; \{\, v \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} \mid e_{12}v = 0,\ e_{11}v = (n-l)v,\ e_{22}v = lv \,\} \ ,$$

where $n - l \geqslant l$.

---

[1] Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0601005.
[2] Supported in part by RFFI grant 05-01-00922.
[3] Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0555327.

H. Bethe in [B] proposed a method, called nowadays the Bethe ansatz method, to obtain eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian H_{XXX}. He constructed a rational $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$-valued function $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ such that for any admissible solution $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ to the system of equations

$$(t_j+2)^n \prod_{k\neq j} (t_j - t_k - 1) \; = \; (t_j+1)^n \prod_{k\neq j} (t_j - t_k + 1) \, , \qquad j = 1, \dots, l \, , \tag{1.1}$$

the value $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Sing}\,(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$ and is an eigenvector of the Hamiltonian H_{XXX}. Here a solution $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ to system (1.1) is called admissible if all $t_1, \dots, t_l$ are distinct, and all factors in (1.1) are nonzero.

Equations (1.1) are called the Bethe ansatz equations. If $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ is an admissible solution to the Bethe ansatz equations, then the value $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ is called the Bethe vector.

The Bethe ansatz equations and the function $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ are symmetric with respect to permutations of $t_1, \dots, t_l$. Therefore, the symmetric group S_l acts on solutions to the Bethe ansatz equations and the number of Bethe vectors is equal to the number of orbits of admissible solutions to the Bethe ansatz equations.

The Hamiltonian H_{XXX} can be included into a one-parameter family, called the transfer matrix, of commuting linear operators on $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$. These operators generate a commutative unital subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}\,((\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n})$, called the Bethe algebra. Every Bethe vector is an eigenvector of the Bethe algebra.

It is natural to ask if the Bethe ansatz method constructs a basis of eigenvectors and if the spectrum of the Bethe algebra is simple.

The first examples show that the Bethe ansatz method does not construct a basis of eigenvectors. If $n = 4$ and $l = 2$, then $\dim \mathrm{Sing}\,(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l] = 2$, the Bethe ansatz equations are

$$\begin{aligned} (t_1+2)^4\,(t_1 - t_2 - 1) \; &= \; (t_1+1)^4\,(t_1 - t_2 + 1) \, , \\ (t_2+2)^4\,(t_2 - t_1 - 1) \; &= \; (t_2+1)^4\,(t_2 - t_1 + 1) \, , \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and there is only one orbit of admissible solutions:

$$t_1 \; = -\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{1}{3}} \, , \qquad t_2 \; = -\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{1}{3}} \, .$$

Hence there is only one Bethe vector in the two dimensional space of singular vectors.

In this paper we prove that the Bethe algebra has simple spectrum, namely, that there exists an eigenbasis of $\mathrm{Sing}\,(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$, and any two eigenvectors are separated by the eigenvalues of elements of the Bethe algebra. Clearly, such an eigenbasis is unique.

We show that elements of the eigenbasis are labeled by two-dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}[u]$ with a basis f, g such that $\deg f = l$, $\deg g = n - l + 1$, and

$$f(u)g(u-1) - f(u-1)g(u) \; = \; (u+1)^n \, .$$

In particular, we show that there exist exactly

$$\dim \operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l] \;=\; \binom{n}{l} - \binom{n}{l-1}$$

such subspaces V.

To describe the eigenvector corresponding to a subspace V let us consider the monic polynomial $f \in V$ of degree l and its roots $t_1, \dots, t_l$. If $t_i - t_j \neq 1$ for all $i \neq j$ and $t_i \neq -1, -2$ for all i, then $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ is an admissible solution to the Bethe ansatz equations, and the eigenvector assigned to the subspace V equals the Bethe vector $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_l)$.

If the l-tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ does not satisfy the inequalities mentioned above, then the vector $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ equals zero in $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$. Nevertheless one can define a nonzero vector $\tilde{\omega}(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ in the corresponding "holomorphic representation" $W_{a,d}$ which is an infinite-dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-module with a natural epimorphism $W_{a,d} \to (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$. In fact, that new Bethe vector $\tilde{\omega}(t_1, \dots, t_l) \in W_{a,d}$ lies in the subspace of singular vectors $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ and is an eigenvector of the corresponding Bethe algebra acting on $W_{a,d}$. We consider the maximal subspace $W \subset \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ with three properties: i) W contains $\tilde{\omega}(t_1, \dots, t_l)$, ii) W does not contain other eigenvectors of the Bethe algebra, iii) W is invariant with respect to the Bethe algebra. Let $\sigma : \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l] \to \operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$ be the natural projection. We show that the image $\sigma(W) \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$ is a one-dimensional eigenspace of the Bethe algebra acting on $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$ with the same eigenvalues as the eigenvalues of $\tilde{\omega}(t_1, \dots, t_l)$ with respect to the Bethe algebra acting on $W_{a,d}$. The subspace $\sigma(W) \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$ is that one-dimensional subspace of eigenvectors assigned to the two-dimensional subspace $V \subset \mathbb{C}[u]$.

The construction described above provides a generalization of the Bethe ansatz method in which the solutions to the Bethe ansatz equations are replaced by the two-dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}[u]$ and the Bethe vectors in $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$ are replaced by the subspaces $\sigma(W)$. Our result says that the generalized Bethe vectors form a basis in $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}[l]$ and, moreover, the spectrum of the Bethe algebra is simple.

For example, if $n = 4$ and $l = 2$, then the space $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 4}[2]$ is two-dimensional and there are exactly two two-dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}[u]$ with a basis f, g such that $\deg f = 2$, $\deg g = 3$, and

$$f(u)g(u-1) - f(u-1)g(u) \;=\; (u+1)^4 \;.$$

The first subspace V_1 is generated by polynomials $u^2 + 3u + \frac{7}{3}$, $u^3 + 6u^2 + 11u + \frac{13}{2}$. The roots $t_1, t_2 = -\frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{1}{3}}$ of the quadratic polynomial form an admissible solution to the Bethe ansatz equations (1.2). The Bethe vector $\omega(t_1, t_2) \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 4}[2]$ is the eigenvector corresponding to V_1.

The second subspace V_2 is generated by polynomials $(u+1)(u+2)$, $u^3 + 6u^2 + 10u + \frac{9}{2}$. The roots $t_1 = -1$, $t_2 = -2$ of the quadratic polynomial form a non-admissible solution to the Bethe ansatz equations (1.2). The Bethe vector $\omega(t_1, t_2)$ is zero in $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 4}[2]$, and in order to construct the eigenvector in $\mathrm{Sing}\,(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 4}[2]$ corresponding to V_2 we need to apply the procedure described above.

The correspondence between the eigenvectors of the Bethe algebra and the two-dimensional subspaces is in the spirit of the geometric Langlands correspondence in which eigenfunctions of commuting differential operators correspond to connections on curves.

1.2. **Content of the paper.** The results of this paper for the *XXX* model are discrete analogs of the results of [MTV3] for the Gaudin model.

In Section 2 we discuss the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ and Yangian modules. In particular, we discuss the holomorphic representation $W_{a,d}$ of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. The module $W_{a,d}$ is associated with two monic polynomials

$$a(u) \,=\, \prod_{i=1}^{n}(u-z_i+m_i) \qquad \text{and} \qquad d(u) \,=\, \prod_{i=1}^{n}(u-z_i)$$

and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ as a vector space.

We introduce a collection $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weights and say that the pair $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i - 2l + 1 + s \neq 0$ for all $s = 1,\dots,l$.

In Section 3 we consider the subspace $\mathrm{Sing}\,W_{a,d}[l] \subset W_{a,d}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-singular vectors and introduce the universal difference operator

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{Sing}\,W_{a,d}[l]} \;=\; d(u) \;-\; B(u,\boldsymbol{H})\,\tau^{-1} \;+\; a(u)\,\tau^{-2}$$

acting on $\mathrm{Sing}\,W_{a,d}[l]$-valued functions in u. Here $\tau : f(u) \mapsto f(u+1)$ and $B(u,\boldsymbol{H}) = H_0u^n + H_1u^{n-1} + \dots + H_n$ with $H_k \in \mathrm{End}\,(\mathrm{Sing}\,W_{a,d}[l])$. The polynomial $B(u,\boldsymbol{H})$ is defined in terms of the Yangian action on $W_{a,d}$.

The elements H_k are called the *XXX* Hamiltonians associated with $W_{a,d}$. The *XXX* Hamiltonians generate a commutative unital subalgebra in $\mathrm{End}\,(\mathrm{Sing}\,W_{a,d}[l])$ called the Bethe algebra associated with $W_{a,d}$ and denoted by A_W.

In Section 4 we consider the space $\mathbb{C}^{l+n}$ with coordinates $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1,\dots,a_l)$ and $\boldsymbol{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ the difference operator

$$\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \;=\; d(u) \;-\; B(u,\boldsymbol{h})\,\tau^{-1} \;+\; a(u)\,\tau^{-2}\,,$$

where $B(u,\boldsymbol{h}) \;=\; 2u^n + h_1u^{n-1} + \dots + h_n$, and by $p(u,\boldsymbol{a})$ the polynomial $p(u,\boldsymbol{a}) = u^l + a_1u^{l-1} + \dots + a_l$. We define the scheme C_D of points $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{C}^{l+n}$ such that the polynomial $p(u,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ lies in the kernel of the difference operator $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})}$. We define the algebra A_D to be the algebra of functions on C_D. In Section 4.4 we define a natural epimorphism $\psi_{DW} : A_D \to A_W$.

In Section 5, using the Bethe ansatz method, we prove that if $z_1,\dots,z_n$ are generic and the pair $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating, then the scheme C_D considered as a set has at least $\dim\,\mathrm{Sing}\,W_{a,d}[l]$ distinct points.

In Section 6 we review Sklyanin's separation of variables in the XXX model and construct the universal weight function. Theorem 6.3.2 connects the algebras A_D, A_W and the universal weight function.

In Section 7 we consider the action of the algebra A_D on itself by multiplication operators. We denote by L_f the operator of multiplication by an element $f \in A_D$. The algebra A_D acts on its dual space A_D^* by operators L_f^*, dual to multiplication operators. Using the universal weight function we define a linear map $\tau : A_D^* \to \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$. In Theorem 7.3.1 we prove that if the pair $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating, then τ is an isomorphism which intertwines the action of the operators L_f^*, $f \in A_D$, with the action of the operators $\psi_{DW}(f) \in \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l])$. Therefore, we prove that $\psi_{DW} : A_D \to A_W$ is an algebra isomorphism. Theorem 7.3.1 is our first main result.

In Section 7.4, using the Grothendieck residue, we define an isomorphism $\phi : A_D \to A_D^*$, which intertwines the multiplication operators L_f acting on A_D and the dual operators L_f^* acting on A_D^*. Therefore, under the assumption that the pair $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating, the composition $\tau\phi : A_D \to \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ is a linear isomorphism which intertwines the algebra of multiplication operators acting on A_D and the action of the Bethe algebra A_W on $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$.

In Section 8 we impose new conditions on $m_1,\dots,m_n$ and $z_1,\dots,z_n$. We assume that $m_1,\dots,m_n$ are natural numbers, still keeping the assumption that the pair $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating. We assume also that $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ if $i \neq j$.

We introduce three more algebras A_G, A_P, and A_L. To define A_G we consider the subspace $\mathbb{C}_d[u] \subset \mathbb{C}[u]$ of all polynomials of degree $\leqslant d$ for a suitably large number d, and the Grassmannian of all two-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}_d[u]$. Using the numbers $z_1,\dots,z_n$ and $m_1,\dots,m_n$ we define $n+1$ Schubert cycles $C_{\mathcal{F}(z_1),\Lambda^{(1)}}, \dots, C_{\mathcal{F}(z_n),\Lambda^{(n)}}, C_{\mathcal{F}(\infty),\Lambda^{(\infty)}}$ in the Grassmannian. The algebra A_G is defined to be the algebra of functions on the intersection of the Schubert cycles.

To define the algebra A_P we denote $\tilde{l} = \sum_{s=1}^n m_s + 1 - l$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} &= (\tilde{a}_1,\dots,\tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}-l-1},\tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}-l+1},\dots,\tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}})\ ,\\ \tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}) &= u^{\tilde{l}} + \tilde{a}_1 u^{\tilde{l}-1} + \dots + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}-l-1} u^{l+1} + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}-l+1} u^{l-1} + \dots + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}}\end{aligned}$$

and consider space $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{l}+l+n-1}$ with coordinates $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}$. We define the scheme C_P as the scheme of points $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{l}+l+n-1}$ such that the polynomials $p(u,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ and $\tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ lie in the kernel of the difference operator $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})}$. We define the algebra A_P to be the algebra of functions on C_P. We have a natural epimorphism $\psi_{DP} : A_D \to A_P$ corresponding to the map $(p(u,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p})), \tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p})), \mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})}) \mapsto (p(u,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p})), \mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})})$. We also show that the algebras A_G and A_P are naturally isomorphic.

To define the algebra A_L we introduce the Shapovalov form on $W_{a,d}$. The kernel $K \subset W_{a,d}$ of the Shapovalov form is a Yangian submodule and the quotient module $W_{a,d}/K$ is isomorphic to the tensor product

$$L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z}) \;=\; L_{\Lambda^{(1)}}(z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes L_{\Lambda^{(n)}}(z_n)$$

of evaluation Yangian modules, where $\Lambda^{(i)}=(m_i,0)$. We denote by $\sigma:\operatorname{Sing}W_{a,d}[l]\to\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$ the corresponding epimorphism. The Bethe algebra A_W preserves the kernel of σ and induces a commutative subalgebra A_L in $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l])$ called the Bethe algebra on $\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$. We denote by $\psi_{WL}:A_W\to A_L$ the corresponding epimorphism.

We denote by $\xi:A_D\to\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$ the composition of maps $\sigma\tau\phi$ and show that the kernel of ξ is equal to the kernel of ψ_{WP}. This allows us to obtain the linear isomorphism $\zeta:A_P\to\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$ which intertwines the multiplication operators L_f, $f\in A_P$, on A_P and the action of the Bethe algebra A_L on $\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$. This is our second main result, see Theorem 9.3.1.

Additional information on the isomorphism of A_P and A_L is presented in Section 10.

Section 11 contains the analogs of the previous results for the homogeneous *XXX* Heisenberg model.

2. YANGIAN $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ AND YANGIAN MODULES

2.1. **Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_2$.** Let e_{ab}, $a,b=1,2$, be the standard generators of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_2$. We have $\mathfrak{gl}_2=\mathfrak{n}^+\oplus\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{n}^-$ where

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ \;=\; \mathbb{C}\cdot e_{12}\,,\qquad \mathfrak{h}\;=\;\mathbb{C}\cdot e_{11}\;\oplus\;\mathbb{C}\cdot e_{22}\,,\qquad \mathfrak{n}^-\;=\;\mathbb{C}\cdot e_{21}\,.$$

For a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-module M and a weight $\mu=(\mu_1,\mu_2)\in\mathfrak{h}^*$, we denote by $M[\mu]\subset M$ the weight subspace of weight μ and by $\operatorname{Sing}M[\mu]\subset M[\mu]$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-singular vectors of weight μ,

$$\operatorname{Sing}M[\mu]\;=\;\{\,v\in M[\mu]\mid e_{12}v=0\,\}\,.$$

For a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weight $\Lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^*$, we denote by M_Λ the Verma $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-module with highest weight Λ and by L_Λ the irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-module with highest weight Λ.

2.1.1. Let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=(\Lambda^{(1)},\dots,\Lambda^{(n)})$ be a collection of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weights, where $\Lambda^{(i)}=(\Lambda^{(i)}_1,\Lambda^{(i)}_2)=(m_i,0)$ for suitable $m_i\in\mathbb{C}$ and $i=1,\dots,n$. Let l be a non-negative integer. The pair $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$, l will be called *separating* if $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i-2l+1+s\neq0$ for all $s=1,\dots,l$, cf. [MV], [MTV3].

2.2. **Yangian.** The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is the unital associative algebra with generators $T^{\{s\}}_{ab}$, $a,b=1,2$ and $s=1,2,\dots$. Let

$$T_{ab}(u)\;=\;\delta_{ab}+\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}T^{\{s\}}_{ab}u^{-s}\,,\qquad a,b=1,2\,.$$

Then the defining relations in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ have the form

$$(u-v)\,[T_{ab}(u),T_{cd}(v)]\;=\;T_{cb}(v)T_{ad}(u)-T_{cb}(u)T_{ad}(v)\,,\tag{2.1}$$

for all a, b, c, d. The Yangian is a Hopf algebra with coproduct

$$\Delta \ : \ T_{ab}(u) \ \mapsto \ \sum_{c=1}^{2} T_{cb}(u) \otimes T_{ac}(u) \tag{2.2}$$

for all a, b.

2.2.1. **Proposition** [KBI]. The following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11}(u)\, T_{12}(u_1) \dots T_{12}(u_k) \ &= \ \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{u-u_i-1}{u-u_i}\ T_{12}(u_1)\dots T_{12}(u_k)\, T_{11}(u) \ + \\ &+ \ \frac{1}{(k-1)!}\ T_{12}(u) \sum_{\sigma\in S_k} \left(\frac{1}{u-u_{\sigma_1}} \prod_{i=2}^{k} \frac{u_{\sigma_1}-u_{\sigma_i}-1}{u_{\sigma_1}-u_{\sigma_i}}\ T_{12}(u_{\sigma_2})\dots T_{12}(u_{\sigma_k})\, T_{11}(u_{\sigma_1}) \right) , \\ T_{22}(u)\, T_{12}(u_1) \dots T_{12}(u_k) \ &= \ \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{u-u_i+1}{u-u_i}\ T_{12}(u_1)\dots T_{12}(u_k)\, T_{22}(u) \ + \\ &+ \ \frac{1}{(k-1)!}\ T_{12}(u) \sum_{\sigma\in S_k} \left(\frac{1}{u-u_{\sigma_1}} \prod_{i=2}^{k} \frac{u_{\sigma_1}-u_{\sigma_i}+1}{u_{\sigma_1}-u_{\sigma_i}}\ T_{12}(u_{\sigma_2})\dots T_{12}(u_{\sigma_k})\, T_{22}(u_{\sigma_1}) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

2.2.2. A series $f(u)$ in u^{-1} is called monic if $f(u) = 1 + O(u^{-1})$. For a monic series $f(u)$, there is an automorphism

$$\chi_f \ : \ Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \ \to \ Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)\ , \qquad T_{ab}(u) \ \mapsto \ f(u)\, T_{ab}(u)\ .$$

2.2.3. There is a one-parameter family of automorphisms

$$\rho_z \ : \ Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \ \to \ Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \qquad T_{ab}(u) \ \mapsto \ T_{ab}(u-z)\ ,$$

where in the right hand side, $(u-z)^{-1}$ has to be expanded as a power series in u^{-1}.

2.2.4. The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ contains the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ as a Hopf subalgebra. The embedding is given by the formula $e_{ab} \mapsto T^{\{1\}}_{ba}$ for all a, b. We identify $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ with its image.

2.2.5. The evaluation homomorphism $\epsilon : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \to U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ is defined by the rule: $T^{\{1\}}_{ab} \mapsto e_{ba}$ for all a, b, and $T^{\{s\}}_{ab} \mapsto 0$ for all a, b and all $s > 1$.

2.3. **Bethe subalgebra.** The series

$$\mathrm{qdet}\ T(u) \ = \ T_{11}(u)\, T_{22}(u-1) \ - \ T_{12}(u)\, T_{21}(u-1) \tag{2.3}$$

is called *the quantum determinant*. The coefficients of the series qdet $T(u)$ belong to the center of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ [IK].

It is known that the coefficients of the series $T_{11}(u) + T_{22}(u)$ commute [FT].

The unital subalgebra of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ generated by coefficients of the series qdet $T(u)$ and $T_{11}(u) + T_{22}(u)$ is called *the Bethe subalgebra*. The Bethe subalgebra is commutative.

Every element of the Bethe subalgebra commutes with every element of the subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$.

2.4. **Yangian modules.**

2.4.1. For a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-module V denote by $V(z)$ the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module induced from V by the homomorphism $\epsilon \circ \rho_z$. The module $V(z)$ is called the evaluation module with evaluation point z.

2.4.2. Let V be an irreducible finite-dimensional $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module. Then there exists a unique vector $v \in V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{21}(u)\, v \;&=\; 0 \;, \\ T_{aa}(u)\, v \;&=\; c_a(u)\, v \;, \qquad a = 1, 2 \;, \end{aligned}$$

for suitable monic series $c_1(u)$, $c_2(u)$. Moreover,

$$\frac{c_1(u)}{c_2(u)} \;=\; \frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)} \tag{2.4}$$

for a monic polynomial $P(u)$.

The polynomial P is called *the Drinfeld polynomial* of the module V. The vector v is called *a highest weight vector* and the series $c_1(u)$, $c_2(u)$ — *the Yangian highest weights* of the module V.

It follows from results of [T] that for any monic polynomial P there exists an irreducible finite-dimensional $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module V such that P is the Drinfeld polynomial of V, and the module V is uniquely determined up to twisting by an automorphism of the form χ_f.

2.4.3. Let V_1, V_2 be irreducible finite-dimensional $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-modules with respective highest weight vectors v_1, v_2. Then for the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module $V_1 \otimes V_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{21}(u)\, v_1 \otimes v_2 \;&=\; 0 \;, \\ T_{aa}(u)\, v_1 \otimes v_2 \;&=\; c_a^{(1)}(u)\, c_a^{(2)}(u)\, v_1 \otimes v_2 \;, \qquad a = 1, 2 \;. \end{aligned}$$

Let W be the irreducible subquotient of $V_1 \otimes V_2$ generated by the vector $v_1 \otimes v_2$. Then the Drinfeld polynomial of the module W equals the products of the Drinfeld polynomials of the modules V_1 and V_2.

2.4.4. Let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(n)})$ be a collection of integral dominant $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weights, where $\Lambda^{(i)} = (\Lambda_1^{(i)}, \Lambda_2^{(i)})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For generic complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_n$, the tensor product of evaluation modules

$$L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z}) \;=\; L_{\Lambda^{(1)}}(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\Lambda^{(n)}}(z_n)$$

is an irreducible finite-dimensional $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module and the corresponding highest weight series $c_1(u)$, $c_2(u)$ have the form

$$c_a(u) \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{u - z_i + \Lambda_a^{(i)}}{u - z_i} \;. \tag{2.5}$$

The corresponding Drinfeld polynomial equals

$$P(u) \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{s=\Lambda_2^{(i)}}^{\Lambda_1^{(i)}-1} (u - z_i + s)\,.$$

2.5. **Holomorphic representation.**

The results of this section go back to [T].

Choose monic polynomials $a(u)$, $d(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ of positive degree n,

$$a(u) \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{n} (u - \tilde z_i)\;, \qquad d(u) \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{n} (u - z_i)\;.$$

Proposition. *There exists a unique $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-action on the vector space $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\bigl(T_{12}(u)\cdot p\bigr)(x) \;&=\; \frac{1}{d(u)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u^{n-i}\, x_i\, p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &=\; \left(\frac{x_1}{u} + \frac{x_2 - x_1 \sum_{i=1}^n z_i}{u^2} + \dots\right) p(x_1, \dots, x_n)\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for any polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, *and*

$$T_{11}(u)\,\cdot\,1 \;=\; \frac{a(u)}{d(u)}\,\cdot\,1\;, \qquad T_{22}(u)\,\cdot\,1 \;=\; 1\;, \qquad T_{21}(u)\,\cdot\,1 \;=\; 0\;, \tag{2.7}$$

where 1 *stands for the constant polynomial equal to* 1 *as an element of* $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

We denote by $W_{a,d}$ the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module defined by formulae (2.6), (2.7) and call it *the holomorphic representation* of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$, associated with the polynomials $a(u)$, $d(u)$.

The Yangian module $W_{a,d}$ is cyclic: every element of $W_{a,d}$ may be obtained from 1 by the action of a suitable polynomial in $T_{12}^{\{1\}}$, $T_{12}^{\{2\}}$, … . Formulae (2.7) mean that 1 is an eigenvector of the operators $T_{11}^{\{s\}}, T_{22}^{\{s\}}$ and 1 is annihilated by the operators $T_{21}^{\{s\}}$ with $s = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the Yangian commutation relations allow us to determine the action of $T_{11}^{\{s\}}, T_{22}^{\{s\}}$, $T_{21}^{\{s\}}$ on all elements of $W_{a,d}$.

2.5.1. Since the coefficients of the series $\operatorname{qdet} T(u)$ are central, we have

$$\operatorname{qdet}\, T(u)|_{W_{a,d}} \;=\; \frac{a(u)}{d(u)}\;.$$

2.5.2. For every $i, j = 1, 2$, we have

$$T_{ij}(u)|_{W_{a,d}} \; = \; \frac{\tilde{T}_{ij}(u)}{d(u)} \, ,$$

where $\tilde{T}_{ij}(u)$ is an $\operatorname{End}(W_{a,d})$-valued polynomial in u of degree n if $i = j$, and of degree $n - 1$ if $i \neq j$.

2.5.3. The embedding $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ defines a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-module structure on $W_{a,d}$. The $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weight decomposition of $W_{a,d}$ is the degree decomposition $W_{a,d} = \oplus_{l=0}^{\infty} W_{a,d}[l]$ into subspaces of homogeneous polynomials. The subspace $W_{a,d}[l]$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree l has $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weight $\bigl(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(z_i - \tilde{z}_i) - l, \, l\bigr)$.

2.5.4. **Lemma.** *Denote* $m_i = z_i - \tilde{z}_i$ *for* $i = 1, \dots, n$. *Let*

$$\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l] \; = \; \{ \, p \in W_{a,d}[l] \mid e_{12} p \, = \, 0 \, \}$$

be the subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$*-singular vectors. Assume that the pair* $((m_1, 0), \dots, (m_n, 0))$, l *is separating. Then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l] \; = \; \dim W_{a,d}[l] \; - \; \dim W_{a,d}[l-1] \, .$$

Proof. The map $e_{12}e_{21} : W_{a,d}[l-1] \to W_{a,d}[l-1]$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces since the pair $((m_1, 0), \dots, (m_n, 0))$, l is separating. The fact that $e_{12}e_{21}$ is an isomorphism implies the lemma. □

2.5.5. Denote by ${}^{+} : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \to Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ the anti-involution defined by $T^{+}_{ij}(u) = T_{ji}(u)$. Denote by $\phi : W_{a,d} \to \mathbb{C}$ the linear function $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto p(0, \dots, 0)$. *The Yangian Shapovalov form* on $W_{a,d}$ is the unique symmetric bilinear form S on $W_{a,d}$ defined by the formula $S(x \cdot 1, \, y \cdot 1) \; = \; \phi(x^{+} y \cdot 1)$ for all $x, y \in Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$.

Different $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weight subspaces of $W_{a,d}$ are S-orthogonal and

$$\det S|_{W_{a,d}[l]} \; = \; \text{const} \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} \prod_{s=0}^{l-1} (z_i - \tilde{z}_j - s)^{\binom{n+l-s-2}{n-1}} \, ,$$

where the constant does not depend on $z_1, \dots, z_n, \; \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_n$.

2.6. The kernel of the Yangian Shapovalov form $K \subset W_{a,d}$ is a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-submodule. The $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module $W_{a,d}/K$ is irreducible.

The Yangian Shapovalov form on $W_{a,d}$ induces a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $W_{a,d}/K$ called *the Yangian Shapovalov form* of the module $W_{a,d}/K$.

2.6.1. **Theorem** [T]. *Denote* $m_i = z_i - \tilde{z}_i$ *for* $i = 1, \dots, n$. *Then for generic* $z_1, \dots, z_n$, $\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_n$, *the* $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$*-module* $W_{a,d}$ *is isomorphic to the tensor product of evaluation Verma modules* $M_{(m_1,0)}(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes M_{(m_n,0)}(z_n)$. *Any such an isomorphism sends* 1 *to a scalar multiple of the tensor product* $v_{(m_1,0)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{(m_n,0)}$ *of highest weight vectors of the corresponding Verma modules.*

2.6.2. **Theorem** [T]. *Assume that* $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ *for* $i = 1, \dots, n$, *and* $m_1 \leqslant m_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant m_n$. *Assume that* $z_i - z_j + m_j - s \neq 0$ *and* $z_i - z_j - 1 - s \neq 0$ *for all* $i < j$ *and* $s = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1$. *Then for any permutation* $\sigma \in S_n$, *the irreducible* $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$*-module* $W_{a,d}/K$ *is isomorphic to the tensor product of evaluation irreducible modules* $L_{(m_{\sigma_1},0)}(z_{\sigma_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{(m_{\sigma_n},0)}(z_{\sigma_n})$. *Any such an isomorphism sends the element corresponding to* 1 *to a scalar multiple of the tensor product* $v_{(m_{\sigma_1},0)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{(m_{\sigma_n},0)}$ *of highest weight vectors of the corresponding irreducible modules.*

A proof of this theorem see also in [CP].

2.6.3. The assumption of Theorem 2.6.2 can be formulated geometrically as the assumption that for $i < j$ the sets

$$S_i \;=\; \{z_i,\, z_i - 1,\, \dots,\, z_i - m_i = \tilde z_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_j \;=\; \{z_j,\, z_j - 1,\, \dots,\, z_j - m_j = \tilde z_j\}$$

either do not intersect, or the smaller set S_i is a subset of the larger set S_j (since we assumed that $m_i \leqslant m_j$).

3. Universal difference operator and algebra A_W

3.1. **Definition.** Define the operator τ acting on functions of u as $(\tau f)(u) = f(u+1)$.

Assume that V is a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module and $T_{ab}(u)|_V$ are $\mathrm{End}\,(V)$-valued rational functions in u for all a, b.

Then one defines *the universal difference operator* $\mathfrak{D}_V$ acting on V-valued functions in u by the formula

$$\mathfrak{D}_V \;=\; 1 \;-\; \big(T_{11}(u) + T_{22}(u)\big)\big|_V\, \tau^{-1} \;+\; \big(\mathrm{qdet}\; T(u)\big)\big|_V\, \tau^{-2}\;,$$

see [Tal], [MTV1], [MTV2]. The operator $\mathfrak{D}_V$ is a linear second order difference operator.

The coefficients of the $\mathrm{End}\,(V)$-valued series $\big(T_{11}(u) + T_{22}(u)\big)\big|_V$ and $\big(\mathrm{qdet}\; T(u)\big)\big|_V$ in u^{-1} generate a commutative unital subalgebra in $\mathrm{End}\,(V)$ called *the Bethe algebra* associated with V.

3.1.1. If $U \subset V$ is a vector subspace preserved by coefficients of $\mathfrak{D}_V$, then we may regard $\mathfrak{D}_V$ as a linear difference operator acting on U-valued functions. The new operator will be denoted by $\mathfrak{D}_U$.

The restriction of the Bethe algebra of V to the subspace U defines a commutative unital subalgebra in $\mathrm{End}\,(U)$ called *the Bethe algebra* associated with U.

3.2. **Operator** $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{Sing}\, W_{a,d}[l]}$ **and algebra** A_W**.** Consider the universal difference operator $\mathfrak{D}_{W_{a,d}}$ acting on $W_{a,d}$-valued functions,

$$\mathfrak{D}_{W_{a,d}} \;=\; 1 \;-\; \big(T_{11}(u) + T_{22}(u)\big)\big|_{W_{a,d}}\, \tau^{-1} \;+\; \big(\mathrm{qdet}\; T(u)\big)\big|_{W_{a,d}}\, \tau^{-2}\;.$$

We have

$$\big(\mathrm{qdet}\; T(u)\big)\big|_{W_{a,d}} \;=\; \frac{a(u)}{d(u)}\;. \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\big(T_{11}(u)+T_{22}(u)\big)\big|_{W_{a,d}} \;=\; \frac{B(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}})}{d(u)}$$

where

$$B(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}) \;=\; \tilde{H}_0u^n+\tilde{H}_1u^{n-1}+\dots+\tilde{H}_n \tag{3.2}$$

for suitable coefficients $\tilde{H}_k \in \operatorname{End}\big(W_{a,d}\big)$. The coefficients $\tilde{H}_0$, $\tilde{H}_1$ are scalar operators and $\tilde{H}_0=2$, $\tilde{H}_1=\sum_{i=1}^n(m_i-2z_i)$. These formulae follow from Proposition 2.2.1.

The elements $\tilde{H}_k$ are called *the XXX Hamiltonians* associated with $W_{a,d}$.

3.2.1. The Hamiltonians $\tilde{H}_k$ preserve the subspace $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ defined in Section 2.5.4. Consider the universal difference operator $\mathfrak{D}_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]}$ acting on $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$-valued functions,

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]} \;=\; 1 \;-\; \frac{B(u,\boldsymbol{H})}{d(u)}\,\tau^{-1} \;+\; \frac{a(u)}{d(u)}\,\tau^{-2}\;,$$

where $B(u,\boldsymbol{H}) \;=\; H_0u^n+H_1u^{n-1}+\dots+H_n$ with $H_k=\tilde{H}_k|_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]} \in \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l])$. The coefficients H_0, H_1, H_2 are scalar operators and

$$H_0 \;=\; 2\;, \qquad H_1 \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^n (m_i-2z_i)\;,$$

$$H_2 \;=\; l\,\Big(l-1-\sum_{i=1}^n m_i\Big) \;+\; \sum_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n}\big(z_iz_j+(z_i-m_i)(z_j-m_j)\big)\;.$$

To get the last formula, one has to use formulae (2.3) and (3.1).

3.2.2. We denote by A_W the Bethe algebra associated with $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$. It is the unital subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}\big(\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]\big)$ generated by the operators $H_3,H_4,\dots,H_n$, called *the XXX Hamiltonians* associated with $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$.

3.2.3. We define *the modified universal difference operator* $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]}$ by the formula $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]} \;=\; d(u)\,\mathfrak{D}_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]}$. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]} \;=\; d(u) \;-\; B(u,\boldsymbol{H})\,\tau^{-1} \;+\; a(u)\,\tau^{-2}\;.$$

3.2.4. **Theorem.** *Assume that the pair* $((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0))$, l *is separating. Then for any* $v_0\in\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ *there exist unique* $v_1,\dots,v_l\in\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ *such that the function*

$$w(u) \;=\; v_0\,u^l \;+\; v_1\,u^{l-1} \;+\;\dots\;+\; v_l$$

is a solution of the difference equation $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]}w(u) \;=\; 0$.

Proof. If all weights $(m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)$ are dominant integral, then the theorem follows from Theorem 7.3 of [MTV2] and remarks in Sections 2.6.1 and 2.6.2. By Lemma 2.5.4 the dimension of $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ does not depend on $z_1,\dots,z_n$, $\tilde{z}_1,\dots,\tilde{z}_n$, if the pair $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating. Hence the theorem holds for all separating $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l. ☐

3.3. **Algebra A_W and Yangian Shapovalov form.** The operators of the algebra A_W are symmetric with respect to the Yangian Shapovalov form on $W_{a,d}$,

$$S(fv,w) \;=\; S(v,fw)$$

for all $f\in A_W$ and $v,w\in W_{a,d}$, see [MTV1].

4. Algebra A_D

4.1. **Definition.** Assume that $z_1,\dots,z_n,\ \tilde z_1,\dots,\tilde z_n\in\mathbb{C}$ and $m_i = z_i-\tilde z_i$ for $i=1,\dots,n$. Let l be a non-negative integer.

Denote $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_l)$ and $\boldsymbol{h}=(h_1,\dots,h_n)$. Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^{l+n}$ with coordinates $\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h}$. Denote by D the affine subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{l+n}$ defined by equations $q_1(\boldsymbol{h})=0,\ q_2(\boldsymbol{h})=0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\boldsymbol{h}) \;&=\; h_1 \;-\; \sum_{i=1}^n (m_i-2z_i)\,,\\ q_2(\boldsymbol{h}) \;&=\; h_2 \;-\; l\,(l-1-\sum_{i=1}^n m_i) \;-\; \sum_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n} (z_iz_j+(z_i-m_i)(z_j-m_j))\;. \end{aligned}$$

4.1.1. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} B(u,\boldsymbol{h}) \;&=\; 2u^n+h_1u^{n-1}+\dots+h_n\;, \qquad (4.1)\\ \mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \;&=\; d(u)\;-\;B(u,\boldsymbol{h})\,\tau^{-1}\;+\;a(u)\,\tau^{-2}\;,\\ p(u,\boldsymbol{a}) \;&=\; u^l+a_1u^{l-1}+\dots+a_l\;. \end{aligned}$$

If $\boldsymbol{h}$ satisfy the equations $q_1(\boldsymbol{h})=0$ and $q_2(\boldsymbol{h})=0$, then the polynomial $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(p(u,\boldsymbol{a}))$ is a polynomial in u of degree $l+n-3$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(p(u,\boldsymbol{a})) \;=\; q_3(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h})\,u^{l+n-3} \;+\;\dots\;+\; q_{l+n}(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h})\;.$$

The coefficients $q_i(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h})$ are functions linear in $\boldsymbol{a}$ and linear in $\boldsymbol{h}$.

Denote by I_D the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h}]$ generated by polynomials $q_1,q_2,q_3,\dots,q_{l+n}$. The ideal I_D defines a scheme $C_D\subset D$. Then

$$A_D \;=\; \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h}]/I_D$$

is the algebra of functions on C_D.

The scheme C_D is the scheme of points $\boldsymbol{p}\in D$ such that the difference equation $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})}w(u)=0$ has a polynomial solution $p(x,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$.

4.2. **Independence of dimension of A_D on $z_1,\dots,z_n$.** For fixed $m_1,\dots,m_n$, the scheme C_D and the algebra A_D depend on the choice of numbers $\boldsymbol{z}=(z_1,\dots,z_n)$: $C_D=C_D(\boldsymbol{z})$, $A_D=A_D(\boldsymbol{z})$.

4.2.1. **Theorem.** *Assume that the pair* $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l *is separating. Then the dimension of* $A_D(\boldsymbol{z})$*, considered as a vector space, is finite and does not depend on the choice of numbers* $z_1,\dots,z_n$*.*

Proof. It suffices to prove two facts:

- (i) For any $\boldsymbol{z}$, there are no algebraic curves lying in $C_D(\boldsymbol{z})$.
- (ii) Let a sequence $\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}$, $i=1,2,\dots$, tend to a finite limit $\boldsymbol{z}=(z_1,\dots,z_n)$. Let $\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}\in C_D(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)})$, $i=1,2,\dots$, be a sequence of points. Then all coordinates $\big(\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}),\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}\big)$ remain bounded as i tends to infinity.

We prove (i), the proof of (ii) is similar.

For a point $\boldsymbol{p}$ in $C_D(\boldsymbol{z})$, the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})}$ has the form

$$d(u)\ -\ (2u^n+h_1(\boldsymbol{p})u^{n-1}+h_2(\boldsymbol{p})u^{n-2}+h_3(\boldsymbol{p})u^{n-3}+\dots+h_n(\boldsymbol{p}))\,\tau^{-1}\ +\ a(u)\,\tau^{-2}\ ,$$

where the coefficients $h_1(\boldsymbol{p})$, $h_2(\boldsymbol{p})$ are determined by the equations $q_1(\boldsymbol{h})=0$ and $q_2(\boldsymbol{h})=0$.

Assume that (i) is not true. Then there exists a sequence of points $\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}\in C_D(\boldsymbol{z})$, $i=1,2,\dots$, which tends to infinity as i tends to infinity. Then it is easy to see that $\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)})$ cannot tend to infinity since it would contradict to the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)})}\big(p(x,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}))\big)=0$.

Choosing a subsequence, we may assume that $\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)})$ has a finite limit as i tends to infinity. Then $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)})$ cannot tend to infinity since it would mean that the limiting difference equation has a polynomial solution of degree less than l, and this is impossible.

This reasoning implies that $\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}\in C_D(\boldsymbol{z})$ cannot tend to infinity. Thus we get a contradiction and statement (i) is proved. □

4.3. Second description of A_D.

4.3.1. **Theorem.** *Assume that the pair* $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l *is separating. Assume that* $\boldsymbol{h}$ *satisfies equations* $q_1(\boldsymbol{h})=0$ *and* $q_2(\boldsymbol{h})=0$*. Consider the system*

$$q_i(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h})\ =\ 0\ ,\qquad i=3,\dots,l+2\ , \tag{4.2}$$

as a system of linear equations with respect to $a_1,\dots,a_l$*. Then this system has a unique solution* $a_i=a_i(\boldsymbol{h})$, $i=1,\dots,l$, *where* $a_i(\boldsymbol{h})$ *are polynomials in* $\boldsymbol{h}$*.* □

Proof. Theorem 4.3.1 follows from the fact that

$$q_{2+i}(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h})\ =\ i\Big(\sum_{s=1}^{n}m_s-2l+i+1\Big)\,a_i\ +\ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}q_{ij}(\boldsymbol{h})\,a_j$$

for $i=1,\dots,l$. Here q_{ij} are some linear functions of $\boldsymbol{h}$. The coefficient of a_i does not vanish since the pair $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating. □

4.3.2. Denote by I'_D the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{h}]$ generated by n polynomials $q_1(\boldsymbol{h})$, $q_2(\boldsymbol{h})$, $q_j(\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{h}), \boldsymbol{h})$, $j = l+3, \dots, l+n$. Then

$$A_D \;\cong\; \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{h}]/I'_D \, .$$

4.4. **Epimorphism $\psi_{DW} : A_D \to A_W$.** Let $h_1, \dots, h_n$ be the functions on D, introduced in Section 4.1, and $H_1, \dots, H_n$ the operators introduced in Section 3.2.1.

4.4.1. **Theorem.** *Assume that the pair* $\big((m_1, 0), \dots, (m_n, 0)\big)$, l *is separating. Then the assignment* $h_s \;\mapsto\; H_s$, $s = 1, \dots, n$, *determines an algebra epimorphism* $\psi_{DW} : A_D \to A_W$.

Proof. The equations defining the scheme C_D are the equations of existence of a polynomial solution $p(u, \boldsymbol{a})$ of degree l to the polynomial difference equation $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}} w(u) = 0$. By Theorem 3.2.4, the defining equations for C_D are satisfied by the coefficients of the universal difference operator $\mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]}$. □

5. Bethe ansatz and C_D

5.1. **Bethe ansatz equations.** The Bethe ansatz equations is the following system of equations with respect to complex numbers $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_l)$:

$$\prod_{s=1}^{n} (t_j - z_s + 1 + m_s) \prod_{k \neq j} (t_j - t_k - 1) \;=\; \prod_{s=1}^{n} (t_j - z_s + 1) \prod_{k \neq j} (t_j - t_k + 1) \, , \qquad j = 1, \dots, l \, . \tag{5.1}$$

A solution $\boldsymbol{t}$ is called admissible if all $t_1, \dots, t_l$ are distinct, and all factors in (5.1) are nonzero.

The permutation group S_l acts on admissible solutions. If $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_l)$ is an admissible solution, then any permutation of these numbers is an admissible solution too. We shall consider S_l-orbits of admissible solutions.

The following lemma is well-known, see for example Lemma 2.2 in [MV].

5.1.1. **Lemma.** *Let* $\boldsymbol{t}$ *be an admissible solution. Denote*

$$p(u) \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{l} (u - t_i) \, , \qquad \mathcal{B}(u) \;=\; \frac{d(u)p(u) + a(u)p(u-2)}{p(u-1)} \, .$$

Then $\mathcal{B}(u)$ *is a polynomial of degree* n *and* $p(u)$ *is annihilated by the difference operator*

$$d(u) \;-\; \mathcal{B}(u)\, \tau^{-1} \;+\; a(u)\, \tau^{-2} \, .$$

5.1.2. **Corollary.** *Any* S_l*-orbit of admissible solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations gives a point of the scheme* C_D *considered as a set. Moreover, different* S_l*-orbits give different points.*

5.1.3. **Theorem.** *Denote* $d_l = \dim \mathrm{Sing}\, W_{a,d}[l]$. *Assume that the pair* $\bigl((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\bigr)$, l *is separating. Then for generic* $z_1,\dots,z_n$ *the Bethe ansatz equations have at least* d_l *distinct* S_l*-orbits of admissible solutions.*

5.1.4. **Corollary.** *Assume that the pair* $\bigl((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\bigr)$, l *is separating. Then for generic* $z_1,\dots,z_n$ *the scheme* C_D *considered as a set has at least* d_l *distinct points.*

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 5.1.3.** Make the change of variables: $z_s = \hat z_s/\epsilon\,,\ s=1,\dots,n$, and $t_i = \hat t_i/\epsilon\,,\ i=1,\dots,l$. Then equations (5.1) take the form

$$\prod_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\hat t_j - \hat z_s + \epsilon + m_s\epsilon}{\hat t_j - \hat z_s + \epsilon} \prod_{k\neq j} \frac{\hat t_j - \hat t_k - \epsilon}{\hat t_j - \hat t_k + \epsilon} \;=\; 1\ , \qquad j=1,\dots,l\ . \tag{5.2}$$

As ϵ tends to zero, equations (5.2) take the form

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{m_s}{\hat t_j - \hat z_s} - \sum_{k\neq j} \frac{2}{\hat t_j - \hat t_k} \;=\; O(\epsilon)\ , \qquad j=1,\dots,l\ ,$$

and in the limit we obtain

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{m_s}{\hat t_j - \hat z_s} - \sum_{k\neq j} \frac{2}{\hat t_j - \hat t_k} \;=\; 0\ , \qquad j=1,\dots,l\ . \tag{5.3}$$

The last system is the system of the Bethe ansatz equations for the Gaudin model. It was proved in [RV] that if the pair $\bigl((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\bigr)$, l is separating and $\hat z_1,\dots,\hat z_n$ are generic, then system (5.3) have at least d_l distinct S_l-orbits of admissible solutions. This proves Theorem 5.1.3.

6. SEPARATION OF VARIABLES

6.1. **Change of variables.** For a non-negative integer l let $\mathbb{C}_l[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}]^{\mathrm{Sym}}$ be the vector space of symmetric polynomials in $y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}$ of degree not greater than l with respect to each variable. Denote

$$\mathcal{W}_{a,d}[l] \;=\; y_0^l\, \mathbb{C}_l[y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}]^{\mathrm{Sym}} \;\subset\; \mathbb{C}[y_0,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}]$$

and set $\mathcal{W}_{a,d} = \oplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{a,d}[l]$. Define an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$W_{a,d} \;\cong\; \mathcal{W}_{a,d} \tag{6.1}$$

using the formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i u^{n-i} \;=\; y_0 \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (u-y_j)\ ,$$

that is, by setting

$$x_i \;=\; (-1)^{i-1}\, y_0\, \sigma_{i-1}(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})\ ,$$

where σ_{i-1} is the $(i-1)$-st elementary symmetric function. For example, for $n=2$ we have $x_1u+x_2=y_0(u-y_1)$ and $x_1=y_0\,,\ x_2=-y_0y_1$.

We will identify the spaces $W_{a,d}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{a,d}$ using isomorphism (6.1). In particular, this defines a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module structure on $\mathcal{W}_{a,d}$. We denote by $\operatorname{Sing}\mathcal{W}_{a,d}[l]\subset\mathcal{W}_{a,d}[l]$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-singular vectors.

Isomorphism (6.1) defines on $\mathcal{W}_{a,d}$ and its subspaces the operators which were previously defined on $W_{a,d}$ and its subspaces. Those new operators will be denoted by the same symbols. In particular, we shall consider the action of operators $\tilde T_{ij}(u)$ and $\tilde H_0,\dots,\tilde H_n$ on $\mathcal{W}_{a,d}$.

6.2. **Sklyanin's theorem.**

6.2.1. **Theorem** [Sk]**.** *The action of* e_{11}, e_{22}, $\tilde T_{11}(u)$, $\tilde T_{22}(u)$ *on* $\mathcal{W}_{a,d}$ *is given by the following formulae:*

$$e_{11} \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \;-\; y_0\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}\ , \qquad e_{22} \;=\; y_0\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}\ , \tag{6.2}$$

$$\tilde T_{11}(u) \;=\; \Big(u+e_{11}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j\Big)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(u-y_j) \;+\; \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a(y_j)\prod_{j'\neq j}\frac{u-y_{j'}}{y_j-y_{j'}}\ \tau_{y_j}^{-1}\ , \tag{6.3}$$

$$\tilde T_{22}(u) \;=\; \Big(u+e_{22}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j\Big)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(u-y_j) \;+\; \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(y_j)\prod_{j'\neq j}\frac{u-y_{j'}}{y_j-y_{j'}}\ \tau_{y_j}\ , \tag{6.4}$$

where $\tau_{y_j} : f(y_0,\dots,y_{n-1})\mapsto f(y_0,\dots,y_j+1,\dots,y_{n-1})$.

Proof. The proofs of formulae (6.2) are straightforward.

The proofs of formulae (6.3) and (6.4) are similar. We will prove formula (6.4). Clearly, the weight subspace $\mathcal{W}_{a,d}[l]$ is spanned by vectors of the form

$$\tilde T_{12}(u_1)\,\dots\,\tilde T_{12}(u_l)\cdot 1 \;=\; y_0^l\prod_{i=1}^{l}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(u_i-y_j) \tag{6.5}$$

with various $u_1,\dots,u_l$. So, it suffices to verify formula (6.4) on such vectors.

Both the expression $\tilde T_{22}(u)\tilde T_{12}(u_1)\,\dots\,\tilde T_{12}(u_l)\cdot 1$ and the right hand side of formula (6.4) applied to $\tilde T_{12}(u_1)\,\dots\,\tilde T_{12}(u_l)\cdot 1$ are polynomials in u of degree n. Therefore, they are uniquely determined by their coefficients at u^n and u^{n-1}, and the values at $n-1$ points $y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}$.

Proposition 2.2.1 and formulae (2.7), (2.5.2), (6.5) yield that

$$\tilde T_{22}(u)\tilde T_{12}(u_1)\,\dots\,\tilde T_{12}(u_l)\cdot 1 \;=\; \Big(u^n+\big(l-\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i\big)\,u^{n-1}\Big)\,y_0^l\prod_{i=1}^{l}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(u_i-y_j) \;+\; O(u^{n-2})$$

as $u \to \infty$, and

$$\bigl(\tilde T_{22}(u)\,\tilde T_{12}(u_1)\,\dots\,\tilde T_{12}(u_l)\cdot 1\bigr)\big|_{u=y_j} \;=\; d(y_j)\,y_0^l\,\prod_{i=1}^{l}\Bigl((u_i-y_j+1)\,\prod_{j'\neq j}(u_i-y_j)\Bigr)\;,$$

which proves the theorem. □

6.2.2. **Corollary.** *We have*

$$B(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol H}) \;=\; \tilde T_{11}(u)+\tilde T_{22}(u) \;=\; (2u+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(m_i-2z_i)+2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}y_j)\,\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(u-y_j)\;+$$

$$+\;\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Bigl(\prod_{j'\neq j}\frac{u-y_{j'}}{y_j-y_{j'}}\Bigr)\Bigl(a(y_j)\tau_{y_j}^{-1}+d(y_j)\tau_{y_j}\Bigr)\;.$$

6.3. **Universal weight function.** Denote $\boldsymbol y=(y_0,\dots,y_{n-1})$. Recall that $\boldsymbol a=(a_1,\dots,a_l)$, $\boldsymbol h=(h_1,\dots,h_n)$ and $p(x,\boldsymbol a)=x^l+a_1x^{l-1}+\dots+a_l$. Denote

$$\omega(\boldsymbol y,\boldsymbol a)\;=\;y_0^l\,\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}p(y_j-1,\boldsymbol a)\;.$$

This element of $\mathcal W_{a,d}[l]\otimes\mathbb C[\boldsymbol a]\subset\mathcal W_{a,d}[l]\otimes\mathbb C[\boldsymbol a,\boldsymbol h]$ is called *the universal weight function.*

The trivial but important property of the universal weight function is given by the following lemma.

6.3.1. **Lemma.** *Consider $\mathbb C^{l+n}$ with coordinates $\boldsymbol a,\boldsymbol h$. Then for every $\boldsymbol p\in\mathbb C^{l+n}$, the vector $\omega(\boldsymbol y,\boldsymbol a(\boldsymbol p))$ is a nonzero vector of $\mathcal W_{a,d}[l]$.* □

Denote by ω_D the projection of the universal weight function $\omega(\boldsymbol y,\boldsymbol a)$ to $\mathcal W_{a,d}[l]\otimes A_D=W_{a,d}[l]\otimes A_D$.

6.3.2. **Theorem.** *Assume that the pair $\bigl((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\bigr)$, l is separating. Then for $s=1,\dots,n$, we have*

$$\tilde H_s\omega_D \;=\; h_s\omega_D \tag{6.6}$$

in $\mathcal W_{a,d}[l]\otimes A_D$. Moreover, we have

$$\omega_D\;\in\;\mathrm{Sing}\mathcal W_{a,d}[l]\otimes A_D\subset\mathcal W_{a,d}[l]\otimes A_D\;. \tag{6.7}$$

6.3.3. *Proof of Theorem 6.3.2.* To prove formula (6.6) it is enough to show that the polynomial $\bigl(B(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol H})-B(u,\boldsymbol h)\bigr)\,\omega(\boldsymbol y,\boldsymbol a)$ projects to zero in $\mathbb C[u]\otimes\mathcal W_{a,d}[l]\otimes A_D$. Let

$$\mathcal B(u,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},\boldsymbol h)\;=\;\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}B(y_j,\boldsymbol h)\prod_{j'\neq j}\frac{u-y_{j'}}{y_j-y_{j'}}\;.$$

For $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have $\mathcal{B}(y_j, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{h}) = B(y_j, \boldsymbol{h})$ and $\mathcal{B}(u, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{h})$ is a polynomial in u of degree $\leqslant n-2$. Hence

$$B(u, \boldsymbol{h}) - \mathcal{B}(u, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{h}) \;=\; \Bigl(2u + h_1 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j\Bigr) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(u - y_j)\ .$$

We have

$$\bigl(B(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}) - B(u, \boldsymbol{h}) + \mathcal{B}(u, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{h}) - \mathcal{B}(u, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{h}))\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}\bigr) \;=$$
$$\biggl(\Bigl(-h_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(m_i - 2z_i)\Bigr) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(u - y_j)\biggr)\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}) \;+$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_0^l \biggl(\prod_{j' \neq j} \frac{u - y_{j'}}{y_j - y_{j'}}\, p(y_{j'} - 1, \boldsymbol{a})\biggr)\Bigl(a(y_j)\tau_{y_j}^{-2} - B(y_j, \boldsymbol{h})\tau_{y_j}^{-1} + d(y_j)\Bigr)\, p(y_j, \boldsymbol{a})\ .$$

Clearly all terms in the right hand side of this formula project to zero in $\mathbb{C}[u] \otimes \mathcal{W}_{a,d}[\,l\,] \otimes A_D$. Hence, formula (6.6) is proved.

The proof of formula (6.7) is based on the following lemma.

Lemma. *We have* $e_{21}e_{12}\,\omega_D \,=\, 0$.

Proof. From the formula for the quantum determinant we have

$$\tilde{T}_{12}(u)\tilde{T}_{21}(u-1)\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}) \;=\; \bigl(\tilde{T}_{11}(u)\tilde{T}_{22}(u-1) \;-\; a(u)d(u-1)\bigr)\,\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a})\ , \qquad (6.8)$$

where $\tilde{T}_{12}(u)\tilde{T}_{21}(u-1) \,=\, e_{21}e_{12}u^{2n-2} + O(u^{2n-3})$. Therefore, our goal is to calculate the coefficient of u^{2n-2} in the right hand side. We have

$$T_{11}(u)T_{22}(u-1) \;=\; 1 \,+\, \frac{e_{11} + e_{22}}{u} \,+\, \frac{e_{22}(e_{11} + 1) + T_{11}^{\{2\}} + T_{22}^{\{2\}}}{u^2} \,+\, O(u^{-3})\ .$$

Hence

$$T_{11}(u)T_{22}(u-1) - T_{11}(u) - T_{22}(u) + 1 \;=\; \frac{e_{22}(e_{11} + 1)}{u^2} \,+\, O(u^{-3})$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_{11}(u)\tilde{T}_{22}(u-1) - B(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{H}})\,d(u-1) + d(u)d(u-1) \;=\; e_{22}(e_{11} + 1)u^{2n-2} + O(u^{2n-3})\ .$$

Thus the right hand side of (6.8) equals

$$\bigl(B(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}) - a(u) - d(u)\bigr)d(u-1)\,\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}) + e_{22}(e_{11} + 1)u^{2n-2}\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}) + O(u^{2n-3})\ .$$

Here

$$e_{22}(e_{11} + 1)\,\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}) \;=\; l\Bigl(\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i - l + 1\Bigr)\,\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a})\ ,$$
$$B(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{H}})\,\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}) \;=\; B(u, \boldsymbol{h})\,\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a})\ ,$$

$$a(u)+d(u) = 2u^n - \sum_{s=1}^{n}(2z_s-m_s)u^{n-1} + \sum_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n}\big(z_iz_j+(z_i-m_i)(z_j-m_j)\big)\,u^{n-2} + \dots$$

and $B(u,\boldsymbol{h}) = 2u^n + h_1u^{n-1} + h_2u^{n-2} + \dots$. Therefore, the right hand side of (6.8) equals

$$\Big(h_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{n}(2z_s-m_s)\Big)\,u^{n-1}d(u-1)\,\omega(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{a})\ +$$
$$\Big(h_2 + l\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}m_i - l + 1\Big) - \sum_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n}\big(z_iz_j+(z_i-m_i)(z_j-m_j)\big)\Big)\,u^{2n-2}\,\omega(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{a}) + O(u^{2n-3})\ .$$

Clearly the first two terms of this expression project to zero in $\mathbb{C}[u]\otimes\mathcal{W}_{a,d}[\,l\,]\otimes A_D$. This proves the lemma. □

In order to deduce formula (6.7) from the lemma, it is enough to notice that the operator e_{21} is injective, in variables $\boldsymbol{y}$ it is the operator of multiplication by y_0. Therefore, $e_{12}\,\omega_D = 0$. Theorem 6.3.2 is proved.

7. MULTIPLICATION IN A_D AND BETHE ALGEBRA A_W

7.1. **Multiplication in A_D.** By Theorem 4.2.1, the scheme C_D considered as a set is finite, and the algebra A_D is the direct sum of local algebras,

$$A_D\ =\ \oplus_{\boldsymbol{p}}\ A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$$

corresponding to points $\boldsymbol{p}$ of the set C_D.

The local algebra $A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ may be defined as the quotient of the algebra of germs at $\boldsymbol{p}$ of holomorphic functions in $\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h}$ modulo the ideal $I_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ generated by all functions $q_1,q_2,\dots,q_{l+n}$.

The local algebra $A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ contains the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ generated by germs which are zero at $\boldsymbol{p}$.

For $f\in A_D$, denote by L_f the linear operator $A_D\to A_D$, $g\mapsto fg$, of multiplication by f. Consider the dual space

$$A_D^*\ =\ \oplus_{\boldsymbol{p}}\,A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}^*$$

and the dual operators $L_f^*: A_D^*\to A_D^*$.

Every summand $A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}^*$ contains the distinguished one-dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$ which is the annihilator of $\mathfrak{m}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$.

7.1.1. **Lemma** [MTV3].

(i) *For any point $\mathbf{p}$ of the scheme C_D considered as a set and any $f\in A_D$, we have $L_f^*(\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}})\subset\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$.*

(ii) *For any point $\mathbf{p}$ of the scheme C_D considered as a set, if $W\subset A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}^*$ is a nonzero vector subspace invariant with respect to all operators L_f^*, $f\in A_D$, then W contains $\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$.*

Proof. For any $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ we have $L^*_f(\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}}) = 0$. This proves part (i).

To prove part (ii) we consider the filtration of $A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ by powers of the maximal ideal,

$$A_{\boldsymbol{p},D} \supset \mathfrak{m}_{\boldsymbol{p}} \supset \mathfrak{m}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^2 \supset \dots \supset \{0\} \ .$$

We consider a linear basis $\{f_{a,b}\}$ of $A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$, $a = 0, 1, \dots,$ $b = 1, 2, \dots,$ which agrees with this filtration. Namely, we assume that for every i, the subset of all vectors $f_{a,b}$ with $a \geqslant i$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{m}^i_{\boldsymbol{p}}$.

Since $\dim A_{\boldsymbol{p},T}/\mathfrak{m}_{\boldsymbol{p}} = 1$, there is only one basis vector with $a = 0$ and we also assume that this vector $f_{0,1}$ is the image of 1 in $A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$.

Let $\{f^{a,b}\}$ denote the dual basis of $A^*_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$. Then the vector $f^{0,1}$ generates $\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$.

Let $w = \sum_{a,b} c_{a,b} f^{a,b}$ be a nonzero vector in W. Let a_0 be the maximum value of a such that there exists b with a nonzero $c_{a,b}$. Let b_0 be such that c_{a_0,b_0} is nonzero. Then it is easy to see that $L^*_{f_{a_0,b_0}} w = c_{a_0,b_0} f^{0,1}$. Hence W contains $\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$. □

7.2. **Linear map** $\tau : A^*_D \to \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$**.** Let $f_1, \dots, f_\mu$ be a basis of A_D considered as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Write

$$\omega_D \ = \ \sum_i v_i \otimes f_i \qquad \text{with} \qquad v_i \in \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{W}_{a,d}[l] = \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l] \ . \tag{7.1}$$

Denote by $V \subset \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ the vector subspace spanned by $v_1, \dots, v_\mu$. Define the linear map

$$\tau \ : \ A^*_D \ \to \ \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l] \ , \qquad g \ \mapsto \ g(\omega_D) = \sum_i \ g(f_i)\, v_i \ . \tag{7.2}$$

Clearly, V is the image of τ.

7.2.1. **Lemma.** *Let* $\boldsymbol{p}$ *be a point of* C_D *considered as a set. Let*

$$\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p})) \in \mathcal{W}_{a,d}[l] = W_{a,d}[l]$$

be the value of the universal weight function at $\boldsymbol{p}$. *Then the vector* $\omega(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ *belongs to the image of* τ. □

7.2.2. **Lemma** [MTV3]. *Assume that the pair* $\big((m_1, 0), \dots, (m_n, 0)\big)$, l *is separating. Then for any* $f \in A_D$ *and* $g \in A^*_D$, *we have* $\tau(L^*_f(g)) = \psi_{DW}(f)(\tau(g))$.

In other words, the map τ intertwines the action of the algebra of multiplication operators L^*_f on A^*_D and the action on the Bethe algebra on $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$.

Proof. The algebra A_D is generated by $h_1, \dots, h_n$. It is enough to prove that for any s we have $\tau(L^*_{h_s}(g)) = H_s(\tau(g))$. But $\tau(L^*_{h_s}(g)) = \sum_i g(h_s f_i) v_i = g\big(\sum_i v_i \otimes h_s f_i\big) = g\big(\sum_i H_s v_i \otimes f_i\big) = H_s(\tau(g))$. □

7.2.3. **Corollary.** *The vector subspace* $V \subset \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ *is invariant with respect to the action of the Bethe algebra* A_W *and the kernel of* τ *is a subspace of* A^*_D, *invariant with respect to multiplication operators* L^*_f, $f \in A_D$.

7.3. First main theorem.

7.3.1. **Theorem.** *Assume that the pair* $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l *is separating. Then the image of* τ *is* $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,]$ *and the kernel of* τ *is zero.*

7.3.2. **Corollary.** *The map* τ *identifies the action of operators* L^*_f, $f\in A_D$, *on* A^*_D *and the action of the Bethe algebra on* $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,]$. *Hence the epimorphism* $\psi_{DW}\,:\,A_D\to A_W$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof of Theorem 7.3.1. First we will show that τ is an epimorphism for generic $\boldsymbol{z}$.

Let $d_l = \dim \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,]$. Corollary 5.1.4 says that for generic $\boldsymbol{z}$ there exists d_l distinct points $\boldsymbol{p}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{p}_{d_l}$ in C_D. By Lemma 6.3.1 and the second part of Theorem 6.3.2, the vectors $\omega(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}_1))$, $\dots$, $\omega(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}_{d_l}))$ are nonzero vectors in $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,]$. It follows from the first part of Theorem 6.3.2 that these vectors are linearly independent and hence form a basis of $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,]$. Therefore, τ is an epimorphism for generic $\boldsymbol{z}$ by Lemma 7.2.1.

By Theorem 4.2.1 and Lemma 2.5.4, dimensions of A_D and $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,]$ do not depend on $\boldsymbol{z}$. Hence $\dim A_D \geqslant \dim \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,]$ for all $z_1,\dots,z_n$. Therefore, to prove Theorem 7.3.1 it is enough to prove that τ has zero kernel.

Denote the kernel of τ by K. Let $A_D=\oplus_{\boldsymbol{p}}A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ be the decomposition into the direct sum of local algebras. Since K is invariant with respect to multiplication operators, we have that $K=\oplus_{\boldsymbol{p}}\,K\cap A^*_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$, and for every $\boldsymbol{p}$, the vector subspace $K\cap A^*_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ is invariant with respect to multiplication operators. By Lemma 7.1.1, if $K\cap A^*_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ is nonzero, then $K\cap A^*_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ contains the one-dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$.

Let $\{f_{a,b}\}$ be the basis of $A_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 7.1.1, and let $\{f^{a,b}\}$ be the dual basis of $A^*_{\boldsymbol{p},D}$. Then the vector $f^{0,1}$ generates $\mathfrak{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$. By definition of τ, the vector $\tau(f^{0,1})$ is equal to the value of the universal weight function at $\boldsymbol{p}$. By Lemma 6.3.1, this value is nonzero and that contradicts to the assumption that $f^{0,1}$ lies in the kernel of τ. $\square$

7.4. **Grothendieck bilinear form on** A_D**.** Realize the algebra A_D as $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{h}]/I'_D$, where I'_D is the ideal generated by n polynomials $q_1(\boldsymbol{h})$, $q_2(\boldsymbol{h})$, $q_j(\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{h}),\boldsymbol{h})$, $j=l+3,\dots,l+n$, see Section 4.3.2.

Let $\rho: A_D\to\mathbb{C}$, be the Grothendieck residue,

$$f \;\mapsto\; \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\,\operatorname{Res}_{C_D}\frac{f}{q_1(\boldsymbol{h})q_2(\boldsymbol{h})\prod_{j=l+3}^{l+n} q_j(\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{h}),\boldsymbol{h})}\ .$$

Let $(\ ,\ )_D$ be the Grothendieck symmetric bilinear form on A_D defined by the rule

$$(f,\,g)_D \;=\; \rho(fg)\ .$$

The Grothendieck bilinear form is nondegenerate.

The form $(\ ,\ )_D$ determines a linear isomorphism $\phi: A_D\to A^*_D$, $f\mapsto (f,\,\cdot)_D$.

7.4.1. **Lemma.** *The isomorphism ϕ intertwines the operators L_f and L_f^* for any $f \in A_D$.*

Proof. For $g \in A_D$ we have $\phi(L_f(g)) = \phi(fg) = (fg,\cdot)_D = (g, f\cdot)_D = L_f^*((g,\cdot)_D) = L_f^*\phi(g)$. □

7.4.2. **Corollary.** *Assume that the pair $\big((m_1,0),\dots,(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating. Then the composition $\tau\phi : A_D \to \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$ is a linear isomorphism which intertwines the algebra of multiplication operators on A_D and the action of the Bethe algebra A_W on $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$.*

8. Three more algebras

8.1. **New conditions on** $(m_1,0)$, … , $(m_n,0)$, l**.** In the remainder of the paper we assume that

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} \;=\; (\Lambda^{(1)},\dots,\Lambda^{(n)}) \;=\; \big((m_1,0)\;,\;\dots\;,\;(m_n,0)\big)$$

is a collection of dominant integral $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weights, that is, $m_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ for $s=1,\dots,n$.

We assume that $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ is such that the weight $\big(\sum_{s=1}^n m_s - l,\, l\big)$ is dominant integral, that is, $\sum_{s=1}^n m_s - l \geqslant l$. This assumption implies that the pair $\big((m_1,0)\;,\;\dots\;,\;(m_n,0)\big)$, l is separating.

Denote $\tilde l = \sum_{s=1}^n m_s + 1 - l$. We have $\tilde l > l$.

8.2. **Wronskian.** The (discrete) Wronskian of polynomials $f,g \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ is the polynomial

$$\operatorname{Wr}(f(u),g(u)) \;=\; f(u)g(u-1) - f(u-1)g(u)\;.$$

8.2.1. **Lemma.** *Let $f,g,\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}[u]$. Assume that f,g are monic polynomials of degrees $l,\tilde l$, respectively, that lie in the kernel of the difference operator*

$$d(u) \;-\; \mathcal{B}(u)\,\tau^{-1} \;+\; a(u)\,\tau^{-2}\;.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Wr}(f(u),g(u)) \;=\; (l-\tilde l)\prod_{s=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{m_s}(u - z_s + j)\;.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}(u) = \operatorname{Wr}(f(u),g(u))$. Then the top coefficient of $\mathcal{C}(u)$ equals $l-\tilde l$, and

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}(u)}{\mathcal{C}(u-1)} \;=\; \frac{a(u)}{d(u)}\;,$$

which determines the polynomial $\mathcal{C}(u)$ uniquely. □

8.2.2. **Lemma.** *Let $f,g \in \mathbb{C}[u]$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Assume that $f(z-j) = 0$ for $j = 1,\dots,m+1$. Then the polynomial $\operatorname{Wr}(f(u),g(u))$ is equal to zero at $u = z-j$, $j = 1,\dots,m$, and the polynomial $f(u)g(u-2) - f(u-2)g(u)$ is equal to zero at $u = z-j$, $j = 1,\dots,m-1$.* □

8.2.3. **Lemma.** *Let $f, g, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{C}[u]$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and* $\mathrm{Wr}\,(f(u), g(u)) = \mathcal{C}(u)$.

(i) *If $\mathcal{C}(z) \neq 0$ and $f(z-1) = 0$, then $g(z-1) \neq 0$.*

(ii) *If $\mathcal{C}(z) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = 0$, then $f(z-1) \neq 0$.* □

8.2.4. **Lemma.** *Let $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[u]$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Wr}\,((u-z)f(u), (u-z)g(u)) \;=\; (u-z)(u-z-1)\,\mathrm{Wr}\,(f(u), g(u)) \;. \qquad \square$$

8.3. **Schubert cycles and algebra A_G.** Let d be a sufficiently large natural number with respect to the numbers $m_1, \dots, m_n$ considered in Section 8.1. Let $\mathbb{C}_d[u]$ be the vector subspace in $\mathbb{C}[u]$ of polynomials of degree not greater than d.

For $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, set

$$f_i(u, z) \;=\; \prod_{j=1}^{i} (u - z + j) \;.$$

Introduce a complete flag in $\mathbb{C}_d[u]$:

$$\mathcal{F}(z) \;=\; \{0 = F_{d+1}(z) \subset F_d(z) \subset \dots \subset F_1(z) \subset F_0(z) = \mathbb{C}_d[u]\} \;,$$

where $F_i(z)$ consists of all polynomials divisible by $f_i(u, z)$.

Introduce the complete flag in $\mathbb{C}_d[u]$ associated with infinity:

$$\mathcal{F}(\infty) \;=\; \{0 = F_{d+1}(\infty) \subset F_d(\infty) \subset \dots \subset F_1(\infty) \subset F_0(\infty) = \mathbb{C}_d[u]\} \;,$$

where $F_i(\infty)$ consists of all polynomials of degree $\leqslant d - i$.

8.3.1. Denote G the Grassmannian of all two-dimensional vector subspaces in $\mathbb{C}_d[u]$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{0 = F_{d+1} \subset F_d \subset \dots \subset F_1 \subset F_0 = \mathbb{C}_d[u]\}$ be a complete flag and $\Lambda = (a, b)$ a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ dominant integral weight such that $d \geqslant a \geqslant b \geqslant 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the Schubert cell $C^o_{\mathcal{F},\Lambda} \subset G$ to be the set of all two-dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}_d[u]$ having a basis f, g such that

$$f \in F_{a+1} - F_{a+2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad g \in F_b - F_{b+1} \;.$$

Define the Schubert cycle $C_{\mathcal{F},\Lambda} \subset G$ as the closure of the Schubert cell.

8.3.2. For $s = 1, \dots, n$, we consider the Schubert cells $C^o_{\mathcal{F}(z_s),\Lambda^{(s)}} \subset G$, where $\Lambda^{(s)} = (m_s, 0)$, and the Schubert cell $C^o_{\mathcal{F}(\infty),\Lambda^{(\infty)}} \subset G$, where $\Lambda^{(\infty)} = (d - l, d - \tilde{l} - 1)$.

In other words, $C^o_{\mathcal{F}(z_s),\Lambda^{(s)}}$ is the set of all two-dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}_d[u]$ having a basis f, g such that

$$g(z_s - 1) \neq 0 \;, \qquad f(z_s - m_s - 2) \neq 0 \;, \qquad f(z_s - j) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m_s + 1 \;,$$

and $C^o_{\mathcal{F}(\infty),\Lambda^{(\infty)}}$ is the set of all two-dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{C}_d[x]$ having a basis f, g such that $\deg f = l$ and $\deg g = \tilde{l}$.

Consider the intersection

$$C_G \;=\; C_{\mathcal{F}(\infty),\Lambda^{(\infty)}} \;\cap\; \left(\cap_{s=1}^{n} C_{\mathcal{F}(z_s),\Lambda^{(s)}} \right)$$

of the corresponding Schubert cycles. Denote by A_G the algebra of functions on C_G.

8.3.3. **Lemma.** *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for* $i \neq j$. *Then*

$$C_G \;=\; C^o_{\mathcal{F}(\infty),\Lambda^{(\infty)}} \;\cap\; \left(\cap_{s=1}^n C^o_{\mathcal{F}(z_s),\Lambda^{(s)}}\right) . \tag{8.1}$$

Proof. Let $V \in C_{\mathcal{F}(\infty),\Lambda^{(\infty)}} \cap (\cap_{s=1}^n C_{\mathcal{F}(z_s),\Lambda^{(s)}})$ and f, g a basis of V, such that $\deg f \leqslant l$ and $\deg g \leqslant \tilde{l}$. Then on one hand, we have $\deg \mathrm{Wr}\,(f(u), g(u)) \leqslant l + \tilde{l} - 1$. On the other hand, the polynomial $\mathrm{Wr}\,(f(u), g(u))$ is divisible by $\prod_{s=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{m_s} (u - z_s + j)$ by Lemma 8.2.2. Since $\sum_{s=1}^n m_s = \tilde{l} + l - 1$, we may conclude that $\deg f = l$, $\deg g = \tilde{l}$ and $V \in C^o_{\mathcal{F}(\infty),\Lambda^{(\infty)}}$. Since we know that a suitable linear combination of f and g is divisibe by $f_{m_s+1}(u, z_s)$, we may conclude that $V \in C^o_{\mathcal{F}(z_s),\Lambda^{(s)}}$ by Lemma 8.2.3. □

8.3.4. **Lemma.** *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for* $i \neq j$. *Then* A_G *considered as a vector space is finite-dimensional. Moreover, this dimension does not depend on* $\boldsymbol{z}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that for any $\boldsymbol{z}$ with $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $i \neq j$ there are no algebraic curves lying in $C_G(\boldsymbol{z})$, and this easily follows from Lemma 8.3.3. □

8.3.5. Under conditions of Lemma 8.3.4 the dimension of A_G as a vector space is given by Schubert calculus.

Namely, let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(n)})$ be the collection of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-highest weights, where $\Lambda^{(s)} = (m_s, 0)$. Let

$$L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \;=\; L_{\Lambda^{(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\Lambda^{(n)}}$$

be the tensor product of irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-modules with highest weights $\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(n)}$, respectively. Denote by $\mathrm{Sing}\, L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$ the subspace of $L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-singular vectors of weight $(\sum_{s=1}^n m_s - l, l)$. Then

$$\dim A_G \;=\; \dim \mathrm{Sing}\, L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l] \;. \tag{8.2}$$

8.3.6. Let $V \in C_G$. Then there exists a unique basis f, g of V such that

$$f(u) \;=\; u^l + f_1 u^{l-1} + \dots + f_l \;,$$

$$g(u) \;=\; u^{\tilde{l}} + g_1 u^{\tilde{l}-1} + \dots + g_{\tilde{l}-l-1} u^{l+1} + g_{\tilde{l}-l+1} u^{l-1} + \dots + g_{\tilde{l}}$$

for suitable complex numbers $f_1, \dots, f_l$, $g_1, \dots, g_{\tilde{l}-l-1}, g_{\tilde{l}-l+1}, \dots, g_{\tilde{l}}$.

Lemma. *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for* $i \neq j$. *Then all polynomials of the subspace* V *are annihilated by the difference operator*

$$\mathcal{D}_V \;=\; d(u) \;-\; \mathcal{B}(u)\,\tau^{-1} \;+\; a(u)\,\tau^{-2} \;,$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(u) \;=\; \frac{1}{\tilde{l} - l}\,(g(u)f(u-2) - g(u-2)f(u)) \prod_{s=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m_s - 1} (u - z_s + j)^{-1}$$

is a polynomial of degree n.

The lemma follows from Lemma 8.2.2.

8.3.7. **Theorem.** *Let* $V \in G$. *Assume that* V *has a basis* f, g *such that* $\deg f = l$ *and* $\deg g = \tilde{l}$. *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for* $i \neq j$ *and* V *is annihilated by a difference operator of the form*

$$d(u) \ - \ \mathcal{B}(u)\, \tau^{-1} \ + \ a(u)\, \tau^{-2} \ ,$$

where $\mathcal{B}(u)$ *is a polynomial. Then* $V \in C_G$.

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 7.2 in [MTV2].

8.4. **Presentation of algebra** A_G**.** If $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $i \neq j$, we shall use the following presentation of the algebra A_G.

Denote

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} = (\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}-l-1}, \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}-l+1}, \dots, \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}}) \ .$$

Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{l}+l+n-1}$ with coordinates $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}$, cf. Section 4.1.

Denote by $\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})$ the following polynomial in u depending on parameters $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$,

$$\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}) \ = \ u^{\tilde{l}} + \tilde{a}_1 u^{\tilde{l}-1} + \dots + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}-l-1} u^{l+1} + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}-l+1} u^{l-1} + \dots + \tilde{a}_{\tilde{l}} \ .$$

Recall that $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}) = u^l + a_1 u^{l-1} + \dots + a_l$ and $B(u, \boldsymbol{h}) = 2u^n + h_1 u^{n-1} + \dots + h_n$.

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Wr}\,(\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}), p(u, \boldsymbol{a})) \ = \ (\tilde{l} - l) u^{\tilde{l}+l-1} + w_1(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) u^{\tilde{l}+l-2} + \dots + w_{\tilde{l}+l-1}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) \ , \\
&\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}) p(u-2, \boldsymbol{a}) - \tilde{p}(u-2, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}) p(u, \boldsymbol{a}) \ = \\
&\qquad\qquad 2(\tilde{l} - l) u^{\tilde{l}+l-1} + \hat{w}_1(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) u^{\tilde{l}+l-2} + \dots + \hat{w}_{\tilde{l}+l-1}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a})
\end{aligned}$$

for suitable polynomials $w_1, \dots, w_{\tilde{l}+l-1}$, $\hat{w}_1, \dots, \hat{w}_{\tilde{l}+l-1}$ in variables $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tilde{l} - l) \prod_{s=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m_s} (u - z_s + j) \ = \ (\tilde{l} - l) u^{\tilde{l}+l-1} + c_1 u^{\tilde{l}+l-2} + \dots + c_{\tilde{l}+l-1} \ , \\
&(\tilde{l} - l)\, B(u, \boldsymbol{h}) \prod_{s=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m_s-1} (u - z_s + j) \ = \ 2(\tilde{l} - l) u^{\tilde{l}+l-1} + \hat{c}_1(\boldsymbol{h}) u^{\tilde{l}+l-2} + \dots + \hat{c}_{\tilde{l}+l-1}(\boldsymbol{h}) \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

for suitable numbers $c_1, \dots, c_{\tilde{l}+l-1}$ and polynomials $\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{\tilde{l}+l-1}$ in variables $\boldsymbol{h}$.

Denote by I_G the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}]$ generated by $2(\tilde{l} + l - 1)$ polynomials

$$w_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) - c_i \ , \qquad \hat{w}_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) - \hat{c}_i(\boldsymbol{h}) \ , \qquad i = 1, \dots, \tilde{l} + l - 1 \ . \tag{8.3}$$

8.4.1. **Lemma.** *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for* $i \neq j$. *Then*

$$A_G \ = \ \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}] / I_G \ .$$

Proof. The scheme defined by the ideal I_G consists of points $\boldsymbol{p}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Wr}\,(\tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p})),p(u,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))) \ &= \ (\tilde{l}-l)\prod_{s=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{m_s}(u-z_s+j)\ , \\ \tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})p(u-2,\boldsymbol{a})-\tilde{p}(u-2,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})p(u,\boldsymbol{a}) \ &= \ (\tilde{l}-l)\,B(u,\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}))\prod_{s=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{m_s-1}(u-z_s+j)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the polynomials $\tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ span a vector subspace V lying in the intersection C_G, see Theorem 8.3.7. Conversely, if $V\in C_G$, then V has a basis f,g like in Lemma 8.3.6. Then by Lemma 8.3.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Wr}(g(u),f(u)) \ &= \ (\tilde{l}-l)\prod_{s=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{m_s}(u-z_s+j)\ , \\ g(u)f(u-2)-g(u-2)f(u) \ &= \ (\tilde{l}-l)\,\mathcal{B}(u)\prod_{s=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{m_s-1}(u-z_s+j) \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable polynomial $\mathcal{B}(u)$. Hence, the triple $g,f,\mathcal{B}$ determines a point $\boldsymbol{p}$, whose coordinates satisfy equations (8.3). □

8.5. **Algebra A_P.** Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{l}+l+n-1}$ with coordinates $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}} \ = \ d(u)\ -\ B(u,\boldsymbol{h})\,\tau^{-1}\ +\ a(u)\,\tau^{-2}$$

be the difference operator defined in (4.1). If $\boldsymbol{h}$ satisfies equations $q_1(\boldsymbol{h})=0$ and $q_2(\boldsymbol{h})=0$, then the polynomial $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}))$ is a polynomial in u of degree $\tilde{l}+n-3$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})) \ = \ \tilde{q}_3(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}},\boldsymbol{h})\,u^{\tilde{l}+n-3}\ +\ \dots\ +\ \tilde{q}_{\tilde{l}+n}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}},\boldsymbol{h})\ .$$

The coefficients $\tilde{q}_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}},\boldsymbol{h})$ are functions linear in $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and linear in $\boldsymbol{h}$.

Recall that if $p(u,\boldsymbol{a})=u^l+a_1u^{l-1}+\dots+a_l$, and $\boldsymbol{h}$ satisfies equations $q_1(\boldsymbol{h})=0$ and $q_2(\boldsymbol{h})=0$, then the polynomial $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(p(u,\boldsymbol{a}))$ is a polynomial in u of degree $l+n-3$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(p(u,\boldsymbol{a})) \ = \ q_3(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h})\,u^{l+n-3}\ +\ \dots\ +\ q_{l+n}(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h})\ .$$

Denote by I_P the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h}]$ generated by polynomials $q_1,q_2,q_3,\dots,q_{l+n}$, $\tilde{q}_3,\dots,$ $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{l}+n}$. The ideal I_P defines a scheme $C_P\subset\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{l}+l+n-1}$. The algebra

$$A_P \ = \ \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{h}]/I_P$$

is the algebra of functions on C_P.

The scheme C_P is the scheme of points $\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{l}+l+n-1}$ such that the difference equation $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})}w(u)=0$ has two polynomial solutions $\tilde{p}(u,\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ and $p(u,\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$.

8.6. Isomorphism $\psi_{GP} : A_G \to A_P$.

8.6.1. **Theorem.** *If* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for* $i \neq j$, *then the identity map* $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde l + l + n - 1} \to \mathbb{C}^{\tilde l + l + n - 1}$ *induces an algebra isomorphism* $\psi_{GP} : A_G \to A_P$.

Proof. If $\boldsymbol{p} \in C_P$, then polynomials $\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ are annihilated by the difference operator $d(u) - B(u, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}))\tau^{-1} + a(u)\tau^{-2}$. If $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $i \neq j$, then the span V of polynomials $\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ belongs to C_G by Theorem 8.3.7. This reasoning defines an algebra homomorphism $\psi_{GP} : A_G \to A_P$.

Conversely, if $\boldsymbol{p} \in C_G$, then the triple $\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $B(u, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ satisfies equations

$$\mathrm{Wr}\,(\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p})), p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))) \;=\; (\tilde l - l) \prod_{s=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m_s} (u - z_s + j)\ ,$$

$$\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}) p(u-2, \boldsymbol{a}) - \tilde p(u-2, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}) p(u, \boldsymbol{a}) \;=\; (\tilde l - l)\, B(u, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})) \prod_{s=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m_s - 1} (u - z_s + j)\ .$$

Hence the polynomials $\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ are annihilated by the difference operator $d(u) - B(u, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}))\tau^{-1} + a(u)\tau^{-2}$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{p} \in C_P$. □

8.7. **Algebra A_L.** Assume that $m_1, \dots, m_n$, l satisfy conditions of Section 8.1. Let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(n)})$ be the collection of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-highest weights with $\Lambda^{(s)} = (m_s, 0)$. Let

$$L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \;=\; L_{\Lambda^{(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\Lambda^{(n)}}$$

be the tensor product of irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-modules with highest weights $\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(n)}$, respectively, and $v_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} = v_{(m_1,0)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{(m_n,0)}$ the tensor product of the corresponding highest weight vectors. Denote by

$$L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z}) \;=\; L_{\Lambda^{(1)}}(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\Lambda^{(n)}}(z_n)$$

the tensor product of evaluation modules.

Let $\mathrm{Sing}\, L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l] \subset L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z})$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-singular vectors of weight $(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i - l, l)$. The algebra A_L is the Bethe algebra associated with $\mathrm{Sing}\, L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$.

Assume that $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $m_1 \leqslant m_2 \leqslant \dots \leqslant m_n$. Assume that $z_i - z_j + m_j - s \neq 0$ and $z_i - z_j - 1 - s \neq 0$ for all $i < j$ and $s = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1$. Then by Theorem 2.6.2, there is a natural isomorphism $W_{a,d}/K \to L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z})$ such that $1 \mapsto v_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$. Here $K \subset W_{a,d}$ is the kernel of the Yangian Shapovalov form on $W_{a,d}$.

The Yangian Shapovalov form on $W_{a,d}$ induces the Yangian Shapovalov form S on $L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z})$ such that $S(v_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}, v_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) = 1$ and $S(x \cdot v, w) = S(v, x^+ \cdot w)$ for all $x \in Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ and $v, w \in L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z})$. The form S is nondegenerate and symmetric.

We have the composition of linear maps

$$W_{a,d} \;\to\; W_{a,d}/K \;\to\; L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z})\ .$$

Restricting this composition to $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}$ we get a linear epimorphism

$$\sigma \ : \ \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,] \ \to \ \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,] \ .$$

The Bethe algebra A_W preserves the kernel of σ and induces a commutative subalgebra in $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,])$. The induced subalgebra coincides with the Bethe algebra A_L. We denote by $\psi_{WL} : A_W \to A_L$ the corresponding epimorphism.

The operators of the algebra A_L are symmetric with respect to the Yangian Shapovalov form on $L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\boldsymbol{z})$.

8.7.1. Denote by

$$\mathcal{D}_L \ = \ d(u) \ - \ (2u^n + \psi_{WL}(H_1)u^{n-1} + \dots + \psi_{WL}(H_n))\,\tau^{-1} \ + \ a(u)\,\tau^{-2}$$

the universal difference operator associated with the subspace $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,]$ and collection $\boldsymbol{z}$.

8.7.2. **Theorem.** *Assume that the pair $\mathbf{\Lambda}, l$ satisfies conditions of Section 8.1. Then for any $v_0 \in \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,]$ there exist $v_1, \dots, v_{\tilde l} \in \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,]$ such that the function*

$$w(u) \ = \ v_0\, u^{\tilde l} \,+\, v_1\, u^{\tilde l -1} \,+\, \dots \,+\, v_{\tilde l}$$

is a solution of the difference equation $\mathcal{D}_L w(u) \,=\, 0$.

This theorem is a particular case of Theorem 7.3 in [MTV2].

9. Three more homomorphisms

9.1. **Epimorphism $\psi_{DP} : A_D \to A_P$.** A point $\boldsymbol{p}$ of C_P determines the difference equation $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})}\, w(u) \,=\, 0$ and two solutions $\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$. Then the pair, consisting of the difference operator $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})}$ and the solution $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ of the smaller degree, determines a point of C_D. This correspondence defines a natural algebra epimorphism $\psi_{DP} : A_D \to A_P$.

9.2. **Linear map $\xi : A_D \to \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,]$.** Assume that $z_1, \dots, z_n,\ m_1, \dots, m_n$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.6.2. Then we have the composition of linear maps

$$A_D \ \xrightarrow{\phi} \ A_D^* \ \xrightarrow{\tau} \ \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[\,l\,] \ \xrightarrow{\sigma} \ \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,] \ .$$

Denote this composition by $\xi \,:\, A_D \,\to\, \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,]$. By Theorem 7.3.1, ξ is a linear epimorphism.

Denote by $\psi_{DL} \,:\, A_D \,\to\, A_L$ the algebra epimorphism defined as the composition $\psi_{WL}\,\psi_{DW}$.

9.2.1. **Lemma.** *If $z_1, \dots, z_n,\ m_1, \dots, m_n$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.6.2, then the linear map ξ intertwines the action of the multiplication operators L_f, $f \in A_D$, on A_D and the action of the Bethe algebra A_L on $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\,l\,]$, that is, for any $f, g \in A_D$ we have $\xi(L_f(g)) \,=\, \psi_{DL}(f)(\xi(g))$.*

The lemma follows from Corollary 7.4.2.

9.2.2. **Lemma.** *If* $z_1, \dots, z_n$, $m_1, \dots, m_n$ *satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.6.2, then the kernel of* ξ *coincides with the kernel of* ψ_{DL}.

Proof. If $\psi_{DL}(f) = 0$, then $\xi(f) = \xi(L_f(1)) = \psi_{DL}(f)(\xi(1)) = 0$. On the other hand, if $\xi(f) = 0$, then for any $g \in A_D$ we have $\psi_{DL}(f)(\xi(g)) = \xi(L_f(g)) = \xi(fg) = \xi(L_g(f)) = \psi_{DL}(g)(\xi(f)) = 0$. Since ξ is an epimorphism, this means that $\psi_{DL}(f) = 0$. □

9.2.3. **Lemma.** *If* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for* $i \neq j$, *then the kernel of* ξ *coincides with the kernel of* ψ_{DP}.

Proof. If $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $i \neq j$, then the assumptions of Theorem 2.6.2 are satisfied and ξ is defined.

By Schubert calculus $\dim \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l] = \dim A_G$. By Theorem 8.6.1 $\dim A_G = \dim A_P$ if $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $i \neq j$. Hence it suffices to show that the kernel of ξ contains the kernel of ψ_{DP}. But this follows from Theorems 3.2.4 and 8.7.2.

Indeed the defining relations in $A_P = A_D/(\ker\, \psi_{DP})$ are the conditions on the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ to have two linear independent polynomials in the kernel. Theorems 3.2.4 and 8.7.2 guarantee these relations for elements of the Bethe algebra A_L. Hence, the kernel of ψ_{DL} contains the kernel of ψ_{DP}. By Lemma 9.2.2, the kernel of ξ coincides with the kernel of ψ_{DL}. Therefore, the kernel of ξ contains the kernel of ψ_{DP}. □

9.2.4. **Corollary.** *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for all* $i \neq j$. *Then the algebras* A_P, A_L *and* A_G *are isomorphic.*

Proof. Since the algebra epimorphisms ψ_{DP} and ψ_{DL} have the same kernels, the algebras A_P and A_L are isomorphic. Then A_L and A_G are isomorphic by Theorem 8.6.1. □

9.3. Second main theorem.

Assume that $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \neq j$. Denote by $\psi_{PL} : A_P \to A_L$ the isomorphism induced by ψ_{DL} and ψ_{DP}. The previous lemmas imply the following theorem.

9.3.1. **Theorem.** *If* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for all* $i \neq j$, *then the linear map* ξ *induces a linear isomorphism*

$$\zeta \ : \ A_P \ \to \ \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l]$$

which intertwines the multiplication operators L_f, $f \in A_P$, *on* A_P *and the action of the Bethe algebra* A_L *on* $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l]$, *that is, for any* $f, g \in A_P$ *we have* $\zeta(L_f(g)) = \psi_{PL}(f)(\zeta(g))$. □

9.3.2. **Corollary.** *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for all* $i \neq j$. *Assume that every operator* $f \in A_L$ *is diagonalizable. Then the algebra* A_L *has simple spectrum and all of the points of the intersection of Schubert cycles*

$$C_G \ = \ C_{\mathcal{F}(\infty),\Lambda^{(\infty)}} \ \cap \ \left(\cap_{i=1}^{n} C_{\mathcal{F}(z_i),\Lambda^{(i)}} \right)$$

are of multiplicity one.

Proof of Corollary. The algebras A_L, A_P and A_G are isomorphic. We have $A_P = \oplus_{\boldsymbol{p}} A_{\boldsymbol{p},P}$ where the sum is over the points of the scheme C_P considered as a set and $A_{\boldsymbol{p},P}$ is the local algebra associated with a point $\boldsymbol{p}$. The algebra $A_{\boldsymbol{p},P}$ has nonzero nilpotent elements if $\dim A_{\boldsymbol{p},P} > 1$. If every element $f \in A_P$ is diagonalizable, then the algebra A_P is the direct sum of one-dimensional local algebras. Hence A_P has simple spectrum as well as the algebras A_L and A_G. □

9.3.3. Corollary 9.3.2 has the following application.

Corollary. *Assume that $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are real, $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $|z_i - z_j| \gg 1$ for all $i \neq j$. Then all of the points of the intersection of Schubert cycles*

$$C_G \;=\; C_{\infty,\Lambda^{(\infty)}} \;\cap\; \big(\cap_{i=1}^{n} C_{z_i,\Lambda^{(i)}}\big)$$

are of multiplicity one.

Proof. If $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are real and $|z_i - z_j| \gg 1$ for all $i \neq j$, then the Yangian Shapovalov form, restricted to the real part of $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$, is positive definite, see Appendix C in [MTV1]. The Hamiltonians $\psi_{WL}(H_1), \dots, \psi_{WL}(H_1)$, restricted to the real part of $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$, are real symmetric operators operators with respect to the Yangian Shapovalov form, see [MTV1]. Hence, all elements of the Bethe algebra A_L are diagonalizable operators. Therefore, the spectrum of A_G is simple and all points of C_G are of multiplicity one. □

10. Operators with polynomial kernel and Bethe algebra A_L

10.1. **Linear isomorphism $\theta : A_P^* \to \operatorname{Sing} L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$.** Assume that $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \neq j$. Define the symmetric bilinear form on A_P by the formula

$$(f,\, g)_P \;=\; S\big(\zeta(f),\, \zeta(g)\big) \qquad \text{for all} \quad f, g \in A_P \;,$$

where $S(\;,\;)$ denotes the Yangian Shapovalov form on $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$.

10.1.1. **Lemma.** *The form $(\;,\;)_P$ is nondegenerate.*

The lemma follows from the fact that the Yangian Shapovalov form on $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$ is nondegenerate and the fact that ζ is an isomorphism.

10.1.2. **Lemma.** *We have $(fg, h)_P = (g, fh)_P$ for all $f, g, h \in A_P$.*

The lemma follows from the fact the elements of the Bethe algebra are symmetric operators with respect to the Yangian Shapovalov form, see Section 3.3.

The form $(\;,\;)_P$ defines a linear isomorphism $\pi : A_P \to A_P^*$, $f \mapsto (f\,, \cdot)_P$.

10.1.3. **Corollary.** *Assume that $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \neq j$. Then the map π intertwines the multiplication operators L_f, $f \in A_P$, on A_P and the dual operators L_f^*, $f \in A_P$, on A_P^*.*

10.2. **Third main theorem.** Summarizing Theorem 9.3.1 and Corollary 10.1.3 we obtain the following theorem.

10.2.1. **Theorem.** *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for all* $i \neq j$. *Then the composition* $\theta = \zeta\pi^{-1}$ *is a linear isomorphism from* A_P^* *to* $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l]$ *which intertwines the multiplication operators* L_f^*, $f \in A_P$, *on* A_P^* *and the action of the Bethe algebra* A_L *on* $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l]$, *that is, for any* $f \in A_P$ *and* $g \in A_P^*$ *we have* $\theta(L_f^*(g)) = \psi_{PL}(f)(\theta(g))$. □

10.2.2. Assume that $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \neq j$. Assume that $v \in \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l]$ is an eigenvector of the Bethe algebra A_L, that is, $\psi_{WL}(H_s)v = \lambda_s v$ for suitable $\lambda_s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s = 1, \dots, n$. Then, by Corollary 7.4 in [MTV2], the difference equation

$$\big(d(u) \ - \ (2u^n + \lambda_1 u^{n-1} + \dots + \lambda_n)\,\tau^{-1} \ + \ a(u)\,\tau^{-2}\big)\, w(u) \ = \ 0$$

has two linearly independent polynomial solutions, one of degree l and the other of degree $\tilde{l}$. The following corollary of Theorem 10.2.1 gives the converse statement.

10.2.3. **Corollary of Theorem 10.2.1.** *Assume that* $z_i - z_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for all* $i \neq j$. *Assume that* $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *is a point such that*

$$\lambda_1 \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^n (m_i - 2z_i)\,, \qquad \lambda_2 \ = \ l\,\Big(l - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i\Big) \ + \sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} \big(z_i z_j + (z_i - m_i)(z_j - m_j)\big)\,,$$

and the difference equation

$$\big(d(u) \ - \ (2u^n + \lambda_1 u^{n-1} + \dots + \lambda_n)\,\tau^{-1} \ + \ a(u)\,\tau^{-2}\big)\, w(u) \ = \ 0 \tag{10.1}$$

has two linearly independent polynomial solutions. Then there exists an eigenvector $v \in \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l]$ *of the action of the Bethe algebra* A_L *such that for every* $s = 1, \dots, n$ *we have*

$$\psi_{WL}(H_s)\, v \ = \ \lambda_s\, v\ .$$

Proof of Corollary 10.2.3. Indeed, such a point $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ defines a linear function $\eta : A_P \to \mathbb{C}$, $h_s \mapsto \lambda_s$, for $s = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, $\eta(fg) = \eta(f)\eta(g)$ for all $f, g \in A_P$. Hence $\eta \in A_P^*$ is an eigenvector of multiplication operators on A_P^*. By Theorem 10.2.1 this eigenvector corresponds to an eigenvector $v \in \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l]$ of the action of the Bethe algebra A_L with eigenvalues prescribed in Corollary 10.2.3. □

10.2.4. Assume that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a point satisfying the assumptions of Corollary 10.2.3. We describe how to find the eigenvector $v \in \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l]$, indicated in Corollary 10.2.3.

Let $f(u)$ be the monic polynomial of degree l which is a solution of the difference equation (10.1). Consider the polynomial

$$\omega(\boldsymbol{y}) \;=\; y_0^l \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} f(y_j-1)$$

as an element of $\mathcal{W}_{a,d}$, see Section 6.3. By Theorem 6.3.2 this vector lies in $\operatorname{Sing}\mathcal{W}_{a,d}[l]$ and $\omega(\boldsymbol{y})$ is an eigenvector of the Bethe algebra A_W with eigenvalues prescribed in Corollary 10.2.3. Consider the maximal subspace $V\subset \operatorname{Sing}W_{a,d}[l]$ with three properties: i) V contains $\omega(\boldsymbol{y})$, ii) V does not contain other eigenvectors of the Bethe algebra A_W, iii) V is invariant with respect to the Bethe algebra A_W. Let $\sigma(V)\subset \operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}[l]$ be the image of V under the epimorphism σ. Then the subspace $\sigma(V)$ contains a unique one-dimensional subspace of eigenvectors of the Bethe algebra A_L. Any such an eigenvector may serve as an eigenvector of the Bethe algebra A_L indicated in Corollary 10.2.3.

11. HOMOGENEOUS XXX HEISENBERG MODEL

11.1. **Statement of results.** In Sections 8–10, in most of assertions we assumed that $z_1,\dots,z_n\in\mathbb{C}$ are such that $z_i-z_j\notin\mathbb{Z}$ for $i\neq j$, and $m_1,\dots,m_n$ are natural numbers. In this section we assume that

$$z_1=\dots=z_n=0 \qquad\text{and}\qquad m_1=\dots=m_n=1\ . \tag{11.1}$$

This special case is called *the homogeneous XXX Heisenberg model.*

In other words, in this section we consider the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module

$$L_{\boldsymbol{1}}(\boldsymbol{0}) \;=\; L_{(1,0)}(0)\otimes\dots\otimes L_{(1,0)}(0)\ ,$$

which is the tensor product of n copies of the two-dimensional evaluation module, and the subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-singular vectors of weight $(n-l,l)$,

$$\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[l] \;=\; \{\,p\in L_{\boldsymbol{1}}(\boldsymbol{0})\mid e_{12}p=0,\ e_{22}p=lp\,\}\ .$$

The subspace $\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[l]$ is not empty if and only if $l\leqslant n$, that is, if and only if the pair $\bigl((1,0),\dots,(1,0)\bigr)$, l is separating. In that case

$$\dim\,\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[l] \;=\; \binom{n}{l}-\binom{n}{l-1}\ .$$

The algebra A_L is the Bethe algebra associated with the subspace $\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[l]$. It is generated by the coefficients of the series $\bigl(T_{11}(u)+T_{22}(u)\bigr)\big|_{\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[l]}$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

11.1.1. **Theorem.** *For the homogeneous XXX Heisenberg model, the Bethe algebra A_L has simple spectrum.*

The theorem will be proved in Section 11.7.

11.1.2. Denote $\tilde l = n+1-l$. We have $\tilde l + l - 1 = n$ and $\tilde l > l$. Denote by f, g two polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[u]$ of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= u^l + f_1 u^{l-1} + \dots + f_l \ , \\ g(u) &= u^{\tilde l} + g_1 u^{\tilde l -1} + \dots + g_{\tilde l - l - 1} u^{l+1} + g_{\tilde l - l + 1} u^{l-1} + \dots + g_{\tilde l} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{11.2}$$

As a byproduct of the proof of Theorem 11.1.1 we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *There exist exactly* $\binom{n}{l} - \binom{n}{l-1}$ *distinct pairs of polynomials* f, g *of the form (11.2), such that*

$$f(u) g(u-1) - f(u-1) g(u) \ = \ (l - \tilde l)(u+1)^n \ .$$

Theorem 11.1.2 will be proved in Section 11.8.

11.2. **Algebra A_L for the homogeneous XXX model.** Consider the Yangian module $W_{a,d}$ corresponding to the polynomials

$$a(u) \ = \ (u+1)^n \ , \qquad d(u) \ = \ u^n \ .$$

The numbers (11.1) satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.6.2. Therefore the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-module $L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})$ is irreducible, and there is a natural epimorphism $W_{a,d} \to L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$-modules. Restricting this epimorphism to $\operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l]$, we obtain a linear epimorphism

$$\sigma \ : \ \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l] \ \to \ \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l] \ .$$

The Bethe algebra A_W preserves the kernel of σ and induces a commutative subalgebra in $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[l])$. The induced subalgebra coincides with the Bethe algebra A_L, see Section 8.7.

Denote by $\psi_{WL} : A_W \to A_L$ the corresponding epimorphism. We have

$$\big(T_{11}(u) + T_2(u)\big)\big|_{\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{1}}[l]} \ = \ 2 + \psi_{WL}(H_1)\, u^{-1} + \dots + \psi_{WL}(H_n)\, u^{-n} \ ,$$

where

$$\psi_{WL}(H_1) \ = \ n \ , \qquad \psi_{WL}(H_2) \ = \ l(l-1-n) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \ ,$$

see Section 3.2.1. Thus the Bethe algebra A_L is generated by elements $\psi_{WL}(H_3), \dots, \psi_{WL}(H_n)$.

11.3. **Algebra A_P for the homogeneous XXX model.** Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with coordinates $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}$, as in Section 8.4, and polynomials $\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a})$, $B(u, \boldsymbol{h})$.

Given the polynomials $a(u) = (u+1)^n$ and $d(u) = u^n$, we define the ideal I_P, the algebra A_P, and the scheme C_P as in Section 8.5. The scheme C_P is the scheme of points $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ such that the difference equation

$$(u^n \ - \ B(u, \boldsymbol{h})\, \tau^{-1} \ + \ (u+1)^n\, \tau^{-2})\, w(u) = 0$$

has two polynomial solutions $\tilde p(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ and $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$.

11.4. **Algebra A_G for the homogeneous $\boldsymbol{XXX}$ model.** Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with coordinates $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}$, and polynomials $\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a})$, $B(u, \boldsymbol{h})$. Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Wr}(\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}), p(u, \boldsymbol{a})) \ &= \ (\tilde{l} - l)u^n + w_1(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a})u^{n-1} + \dots + w_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) \ , \\ \tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})p(u-2, \boldsymbol{a}) - \tilde{p}(u-2, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})p(u, \boldsymbol{a}) \ &= \\ & 2(\tilde{l} - l)u^n + \hat{w}_1(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a})u^{n-1} + \dots + \hat{w}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) \end{aligned}$$

for suitable polynomials $w_1, \dots, w_n$, $\hat{w}_1, \dots, \hat{w}_n$ in variables $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}$.

Denote by I_G the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}]$ generated by $2n$ polynomials

$$w_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) - (\tilde{l} - l)\binom{n}{i} \ , \qquad \hat{w}_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}) - (\tilde{l} - l)h_i \ , \qquad i = 1, \dots, n \ . \tag{11.3}$$

The ideal I_G defines a scheme $C_G \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Then

$$A_G \ = \ \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}]/I_G$$

is the algebra of functions on C_G.

The scheme C_G is the scheme of points $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Wr}(\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p})), p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p})) \ &= \ (\tilde{l} - l)\,(u+1)^n \ , \\ \tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})p(u-2, \boldsymbol{a}) - \tilde{p}(u-2, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}})p(u, \boldsymbol{a}) \ &= \ (\tilde{l} - l)\, B(u, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p})) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{11.4}$$

11.4.1. **Theorem.** *The identity map $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ induces an algebra isomorphism ψ_{GP} : $A_G \to A_P$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 8.6.1. If $\boldsymbol{p} \in C_P$, then polynomials $\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ are annihilated by the difference operator

$$u^n - B(u, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}))\tau^{-1} + (u+1)^n\tau^{-2} \ .$$

Then by Lemma 8.2.1, equations (11.4) hold. Hence, $\boldsymbol{p}$ belongs to C_G.

Conversely, if $\boldsymbol{p} \in C_G$, then the polynomials $\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $B(u, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ satisfy equations (11.4). Hence, the polynomials $\tilde{p}(u, \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}))$, $p(u, \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}))$ are annihilated by the difference operator $u^n - B(u, \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}))\tau^{-1} + (u+1)^n\tau^{-2}$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{p} \in C_P$. ☐

11.4.2. **Lemma.** *The dimension of A_G considered as a vector space is equal to*

$$\dim \operatorname{Sing} L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[l] \ = \ \binom{n}{l} - \binom{n}{l-1} \ .$$

Proof. Consider the ideal $I_G(\boldsymbol{z})$ defined by (8.3) for $m_1 = \dots = m_n = 1$ and arbitrary $z_1, \dots, z_n$. Consider the algebra $A_G(\boldsymbol{z}) = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{h}]/I_G(\boldsymbol{z})$. By Lemma 8.4.1, if $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are distinct and close to zero, then $A_G(\boldsymbol{z})$ is the algebra of functions on the intersection of Schubert cells $C_G(\boldsymbol{z})$, see (8.1), and by (8.2) we have

$$\dim A_G(\boldsymbol{z}) \ = \ \binom{n}{l} - \binom{n}{l-1} \ .$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 11.4.2, it suffices to verify two facts:

(i) There are no algebraic curves lying in the scheme $C_G(\mathbf{0})$, defined by the ideal (11.3).

(ii) Let a sequence $\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, tend to $\mathbf{0}$. Let $\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)} \in C_G(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of points. Then all coordinates $\big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}), \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)}), \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{p}^{(i)})\big)$ remain bounded as i tends to infinity.

By Theorem 8.6.1, the schemes $C_G(\boldsymbol{z})$ and $C_P(\boldsymbol{z})$ are isomorphic if $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are distinct and close to zero. By Theorem 11.4.1, the schemes $C_G(\mathbf{0})$ and $C_P(\mathbf{0})$ are isomorphic as well. Claims (i) and (ii) hold for the scheme $C_P(\boldsymbol{z})$ by Theorem 4.2.1 because $C_P(\boldsymbol{z})$ is a subscheme of the scheme $C_D(\boldsymbol{z})$. □

11.5. **Three more homomorphisms for the homogeneous *XXX* model.**

In Sections 9.1 and 9.2, we define an algebra epimorphism $\psi_{DP} : A_D \to A_P$, and a linear epimorphism $\xi : A_D \to \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{1}}[l]$ as the composition of linear maps

$$A_D \xrightarrow{\phi} A_D^* \xrightarrow{\tau} \operatorname{Sing} W_{a,d}[l] \xrightarrow{\sigma} \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{1}}[l] .$$

We denote by $\psi_{DL} : A_D \to A_L$ the algebra epimorphism defined as the composition $\psi_{WL}\psi_{DW}$.

For the homogeneous *XXX* model, we have lemmas 9.2.1 and 9.2.2 and the following analog of Lemma 9.2.3.

11.5.1. **Lemma.** *For the homogeneous XXX model, the kernel of ξ coincides with the kernel of ψ_{DP}.*

The proof of Lemma 11.5.1 is similar to the proof of Lemma 9.2.3 with Theorem 11.4.1 replacing Theorem 8.6.1.

11.5.2. **Corollary.** *For the homogeneous XXX model, the algebras A_P, A_L and A_G are isomorphic.*

Denote by $\psi_{PL} : A_P \to A_L$ the isomorphism induced by ψ_{DL} and ψ_{DP}. We have the following analog of Theorem 9.3.1.

11.5.3. **Theorem.** *For the homogeneous XXX model, the linear map ξ induces a linear isomorphism*

$$\zeta \ : \ A_P \ \to \ \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{1}}[l]$$

which intertwines the multiplication operators L_f, $f \in A_P$, on A_P and the action of the Bethe algebra A_L on $\operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{1}}[l]$, that is, for any $f, g \in A_P$ we have $\zeta(L_f(g)) = \psi_{PL}(f)(\zeta(g))$. □

11.6. The Bethe algebra A_L of the Heisenberg model is diagonalizable.

11.6.1. **Theorem.** *For the homogeneous XXX model, all elements of A_L are diagonalizable operators.*

Proof. Let v_+ be a highest $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-weight vector of $L_{(1,0)}$ and $v_- = e_{21}v_+$. Then v_+, v_- form a basis of $L_{(1,0)}$. Consider the Hermitian form on $L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})$ for which the vectors

$$v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n} \qquad \text{with} \qquad i_j \in \{+, -\}$$

generate an orthonormal basis of $L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})$. For any $X \in \operatorname{End}\bigl(L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})\bigr)$, denote by $X^\dagger$ the Hermitian conjugate operator with respect to this Hermitian form. It is clear that

$$\bigl((1^{\otimes(j-1)} \otimes e_{ab} \otimes 1^{\otimes(n-j)})|_{L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})}\bigr)^\dagger \;=\; (1^{\otimes(j-1)} \otimes e_{ba} \otimes 1^{\otimes(n-j)})|_{L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})}\ .$$

Using the fact that $(e_{11} + e_{22})|_{L_{(1,0)}} = 1$ and the definition of the coproduct (2.2), it is straightforward to verify by induction on n that

$$\bigl(T_{ab}(u)|_{L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})}\bigr)^\dagger \;=\; (-1)^{a+b+n}\, T_{3-a,3-b}(-\bar u - 1)|_{L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})}\ ,$$

where $\bar u$ is the complex conjugate of u. Therefore,

$$\bigl((T_{11}(u) + T_{22}(u))|_{L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})}\bigr)^\dagger \;=\; -\,(T_{11}(-\bar u - 1) + T_{22}(-\bar u - 1))|_{L_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0})}\ .$$

This means that for any $X \in A_L$, the Hermitian conjugate operator $X^\dagger$ lies in A_L. Hence, any element of A_L commutes with its Hermitian conjugate and, therefore, is diagonalizable. □

11.7. **Proof of Theorem 11.1.1.** The algebras A_L, A_P and A_G are all isomorphic. We have $A_G = \oplus_{\boldsymbol{p}} A_{\boldsymbol{p},G}$ where the sum is over the points of the scheme C_G considered as a set and $A_{\boldsymbol{p},G}$ is the local algebra associated with a point $\boldsymbol{p}$. The algebra $A_{\boldsymbol{p},G}$ has nonzero nilpotent elements if $\dim A_{\boldsymbol{p},G} > 1$. By Theorem 11.6.1 every element $f \in A_G$ is diagonalizable. Hence the algebra A_G is the direct sum of one-dimensional local algebras. Therefore A_G has simple spectrum as well as the algebras A_L and A_P. Theorem 11.1.1 is proved.

11.8. **Proof of Theorem 11.1.2.** It follows from the proof of Theorem 11.1.1 that for the homogeneous *XXX* model the algebra A_G is the direct sum of one-dimensional local algebras. Hence C_G considered as a set consists of $\binom{n}{l} - \binom{n}{l-1}$ distinct points. Theorem 11.1.2 is proved.

11.8.1. Assume that $v \in \operatorname{Sing} L_{\mathbf{1}}[l]$ is an eigenvector of the Bethe algebra A_L, that is, $\psi_{WL}(H_s)v = \lambda_s v$ for suitable $\lambda_s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s = 1, \dots, n$. Then, by Corollary 7.4 in [MTV2], the difference equation

$$\bigl(u^n \;-\; (2u^n + \lambda_1 u^{n-1} + \cdots + \lambda_n)\,\tau^{-1} \;+\; (u+1)^n\,\tau^{-2}\bigr)\,w(u) \;=\; 0$$

has two linearly independent polynomial solutions, one of degree l and the other of degree $n - l + 1$. The following corollary of Theorem 11.1.1 gives the converse statement.

11.8.2. **Corollary of Theorem 11.1.1.** *Assume that* $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$ *is a point such that*

$$\lambda_1 \;=\; n\ , \qquad \lambda_2 \;=\; l(l-1-n)-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\ ,$$

and the difference equation

$$\big(u^n \;-\; (2u^n+\lambda_1u^{n-1}+\dots+\lambda_n)\,\tau^{-1} \;+\; (u+1)^n\,\tau^{-2}\big)\,w(u) \;=\; 0$$

has two linearly independent polynomial solutions. Then there exists an eigenvector $v\in\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[\,l\,]$ *of the action of the Bethe algebra* A_L *of the homogeneous XXX model such that for every* $s=1,\dots,n$ *we have*

$$\psi_{WL}(H_s)\,v \;=\; \lambda_s\,v\ .$$

Proof of Corollary 11.8.2. Since the algebra A_L has simple spectrum and is isomorphic to A_P, then for any point $\boldsymbol{p}\in C_P$ there exists an eigenvector $v_{\boldsymbol{p}}\in\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[\,l\,]$ such that $\psi_{PL}(X)\,v_{\boldsymbol{p}} \;=\; X(\boldsymbol{p})\,v_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ for any $X\in A_P$.

If $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies the assumption of the corollary, then it defines a point $\boldsymbol{p}\in C_P$ such that $\lambda_s=h_s(\boldsymbol{p})$. Therefore,

$$\psi_{WL}(H_s)\,v_{\boldsymbol{p}} \;=\; \psi_{PL}(h_s)\,v_{\boldsymbol{p}} \;=\; h_s(\boldsymbol{p})\,v_{\boldsymbol{p}} \;=\; \lambda_s v_{\boldsymbol{p}}\ . \qquad\square$$

11.8.3. Assume that $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$ is a point satisfying the assumptions of Corollary 11.8.2. In order to find the eigenvector $v\in\operatorname{Sing}L_{\boldsymbol{1}}[\,l\,]$, indicated in Corollary 11.8.2, one needs to apply the procedure described in Section 10.2.4.

References

[B] H. Bethe, *Zur Theorie der Metalle: I. Eigenwerte und Eigenfunktionen der linearen Atomkette*, Z. Phys. **71** (1931), 205–226

[Be] P. Belkale, *Invariant theory of $GL(n)$ and intersection theory of Grassmannians*, IMRN (2004), no. 69, 3709–3721

[BMV] P. Belkale, E. Mukhin, A. Varchenko, *Multiplicity of critical points of master functions and Schubert calculus*; Preprint (2005), 1–23; `math.QA/0503132`

[CP] V. Chari, A. Presley, *A Guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994

[D] V. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212–216

[F] W. Fulton, *Eigenvalues, invariant factors, highest weights, and Schubert calculus*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., New Ser. **37** (2000), no. 3, 209–249

[FT] L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan, *The quantum method for the inverse problem and the XYZ Heisenberg model* Russian Math. Surveys **34** (1979), no. 5, 11–68
The spectrum and scattering of excitations in the one-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg model, J. Sov. Math. **24** (1984), 241–267

[IK] A. G. Izergin, V. E. Korepin, *Lattice model connected with nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Sov. Phys. Doklady **26** (1981), 653–654

[KBI] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, A. G. Izergin, *Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions* Cambridge University Press, 1993

[MNO] A. Molev, M. Nazarov, G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys **51** (1996), no. 2, 205–282

[MTV1] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Bethe eigenvectors of higher transfer matrices*, J. Stat. Mech. (2006), no. 8, P08002, 1–44

[MTV2] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Generating operator of XXX or Gaudin transfer matrices has quasi-exponential kernel*, SIGMA **6** (2007), 060, 1–31

[MTV3] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Bethe algebra and algebra of functions on the space of differential operators of order two with polynomial solutions*, Preprint (2007)

[MV] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Solutions to the XXX type Bethe ansatz equations and flag varieties*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 1 (2003), no. 2, 238–271
Critical Points of Master Functions and Flag Varieties, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **6** (2004), no. 1, 111–163

[RV] N. Reshetikhin and A. Varchenko, *Quasiclassical asymptotics of solutions to the KZ equations*, Geometry, Topology and Physics for R. Bott, Intern. Press, 1995, 293–322

[Sk] E. Sklyanin, *Quantum inverse scattering method. Selected topics*. in Nankai Lectures Math. Phys., 63–97, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992

[ScV] I. Scherbak and A. Varchenko, *Critical points of functions, $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ representations, and Fuchsian differential equations with only univalued solutions*, Dedicated to Vladimir I. Arnold on the occasion of his 65th birthday, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 2, 621–645

[T] V. Tarasov *Irreducible monodromy matrices for the R-matrix of the XXZ-model and lattice local quantum Hamiltonians*, Theor. Math. Phys. **63** (1985), no, 2, 440–454

[Tal] D. Talalaev, *Quantization of the Gaudin system*, Preprint (2004), 1–19; `hep-th/0404153`